				Autho	rs: Arnold Moene, Mieke Latijnho	uwers a	and others (Wageningen Universit	ty, The I	Netherlands)	
	Rubric - MSc-resear	ch iı	nternship	versio	n: 4.0 (source: thesisinternship-	rubric-\	/4.0_20220628.xlsm)			
riterion and subcrite	ei Unacceptable		Insufficient	Needsi	Just sufficient	Ample	Good	Very good	Exemplary	Points o exceller
	Grade: 2	3	Grade: 4	5	Grade: 6	7	Grade: 8	9	Grade: 10	
. Performance (50%) nitiative and creativity									
ndependence	The student can only execute the tasks properly after repeated detailed instructions and with direct help from the supervisor.		The student needs detailed instructions and well-defined tasks from the supervisor and the supervisor needs to monitor the student to see if all tasks have been performed.		Student depends mainly on supervisor for setting out the task, but the student performs them mostly independently.		Student plans and performs tasks mostly independently, asks for help from the supervisor when needed.		Student plans and performs tasks independently and organises their sources of help independently.	
nitiative and rreativity	Student shows no initiative or new ideas at all.		Student adopts initiatives and/or new ideas suggested by others (e.g. supervisor), but cannot motivate/explain the rationale of these initiatives/ideas themselves.		Student shows some initiative and/or together with the supervisor develops one or two new ideas on minor parts of the research.		Student initiates discussions on new ideas with supervisor and puts forward their own creative ideas on hypothesis formulation, design or data processing.		Student develops and implements innovative hypotheses, methods and/or analysis of information/data. Possibly the idea for the project has been formulated by the student.	
	rseverance and adaptivity									
Commitment/ perseverance	Student is not motivated. Student escapes work and gives up regularly.		Student has little motivation. Tends to be distracted easily and shows little perseverance.		Student is motivated at times, but often refers to the work as a compulsory task. Is distracted from research work now and then.		The student is motivated and shows ownership of the project. Overcomes an occasional setback independently.		The student is very motivated, shows ownership, and overcomes setbacks independently. Student goes at length to get the most out of the project (within the planned period).	
Insight in the organization and adaptation capacity	Student does not adapt to the organisation and gives an impression of apathy or is often involved in disputes or arguments.		Student shows no insight in functioning of the organisation. Student repeatedly has difficulty to get things done within the team (e.g. receiving information, organizing materials or facilities, etc). Student does not adapt and remains passive or negative.		Student is able to indicate the responsibilities within their own team. Student gets things done within the team (e.g. gathering information, organizing resources) but only via supervisor. Student accepts how things go within the new work environment without further reflection.		Student is able to indicate the responsibilities of the different units within the organization. Student is able to get things (e.g. receiving information, organizing material facilities, etc.) done within the team independently . Student is able to adapt to the new work environment in a productive and interactive way.		Student knows how changes are realized in the organization. Student is able to independently get things done that affect the whole team. Student adapts well to the work environment, while reflecting on contributing with their personal view.	
L.3 Receiving and pr	oviding feedback									
Receiving feedback	Student does not follow up on suggestions and ideas of the supervisor. Shows a defensive attitude to feedback.		Student follows up on some suggestions and ideas of the supervisor without any critical reflection.		Student accepts feedback from supervisor. Incorporates most or all of the supervisor's feedback adequately but without much reflective discussion.		Student welcomes feedback from supervisor and asks for it when needed. Student reflects on feedback and incorporates changes after engaging in a discussion.		Student seeks and welcomes feedback from supervisor and other staff members or students. Student critically reflects on feedback, uses it as a starting point for further discussion and proposes alternatives	
Providing feedback	Student does not provide feedback to others, even when asked for.		Student only provides feedback when asked for. Feedback is general, without supporting examples or without suggestions for improvement.		Student provides well-founded (with examples), specific feedback when asked for.		Student spontaneously provides balanced (positive and negative), well-founded (with examples), specific feedback.		Student actively engages in discussion with others to deliver balanced (positive and negative), well-founded (with examples), specific and constructive feedback. Student checks whether feedback is clear for receiver.	
1.4 Development of	knowledge and skills									
	Knowledge and skills remain insufficient (in relation to the prerequisites) and the student does not succeed to take appropriate action to remedy this.		Students' progress in knowledge and skills is limited and requires extensive guidance by the supervisor.		The student adopts knowledge and skills as they are presented during supervision.		The student adopts knowledge and skills independently, and asks for assistance from the supervisor if needed.		Students explores solutions independently and seeks appropriate knowledge and skills required.	
1.5 Work on persona	al learning outcomes Student gives no attention to		Student tries to improve on		Students works on some of		Students works on personal		Students manages their	
	the personal learning outcomes.		Student tries to improve on personal learning outcomes but is not able to evaluate progress.		Students works on some of their personal learning outcomes and recognizes progress.		Students works on personal learning outcomes and critically evaluates progress.		Students manages their development on personal learning outcomes effectively. Student reflects on progress and uses that to adjust the work on personal learning outcomes.	
1.6 Time manageme										
	No time schedule made, or time schedule lacks all detail. Final version of report or oral presentation more than 50% of the nominal period overdue without a valid reason (force majeure)		No realistic time schedule, or repeatedly ignoring the time schedule, or mostly dependent on supervisor for keeping on track. Final version of report or oral presentation overdue up to 50% of the nominal period (without force majeur).		Mostly realistic time schedule, but no timely adjustment of time schedule if needed. Final version of report or oral presentation at most 25% of nominal period overdue (without force majeur)		Realistic time schedule, with timely adjustments of time schedule but without reconsidering tasks. Final version of report or oral presentation at most 5% of nominal period overdue (without force majeur).		Realistic time schedule with timely and effective adjustments of both time and tasks if necessary. Final version of report and ora presentation finished within planned period (or overdue because of force majeur and finished within reasonable time).	
.7 Performance on	research/project tasks									
	Student repeatedly makes mistakes or performs tasks inaccurately. Student violates aspects of integrity.		Student does not pay sufficient attention to details. Student does not show awareness of aspects of		Student pays some attention to details. Student is mostly transparent in their choices and acts responsibly towards		Student pays attention to details. Student is transparent in their choices and acts responsibly towards people		Student is conscientious and efficient. Student is transparent in their choices and acts responsibly towards	

efficient. Student is transparent in their choices and acts responsibly towards people and property. Student actively inquires, and initiates discussions, about integrity.

people and property.

in their choices and acts responsibly towards people and property. Student is able

and willing to discuss integrity.

responsibility.

integrity like transparency and



	Rubric - MSc-resear	ch internship	version: 4.0 (source: thesisinternship-rubric-v4.0_20220628.xlsm)						
Criterion and subcrite	Unacceptable	Insufficient	Needsi	Just sufficient	Ample	Good	Very good	Exemplary	Points of excellence
	Grade: 2	3 Grade: 4	5	Grade: 6	7	Grade: 8	9	Grade: 10	
1.8 Transfer of (prior)		essional context of the internship Student partly lacks relevant		Student shows relevant		Student shows relevant	5	Student shows relevant	
	knowledge expected from a MSc-student to such an extent that student is unable to perform the internship tasks.	knowledge expected from a MSc-student, or is sometimes unable to translate knowledge to the internship tasks, or does not increase knowledge where necessary.		knowledge on an academic level (compatible with the introductory courses in their MSc-programme). Student translates this knowledge to some of the internship tasks. In a few cases, student increases knowledge where necessary.		knowledge on an academic level (compatible with the most advanced courses in their MSc-programme). Student translates this knowledge to the internship tasks. Student increases knowledge where necessary.		knowledge on an academic level (compatible with the most advanced courses in their MSc-programme). Student translates this knowledge to the internship tasks. Student increases knowledge where necessary and student increases the knowledge of the team/organization.	
1.9 Execution of adva	nced work tasks in the projects Student is not competent yet	Student performs work tasks		Student performs most work		Student performs work tasks		Student makes several	
1.10 [to perform work tasks and projects as designed/planned.	and projects as designed/planned, but is unable to evaluate the outcomes/success of their performance.		tasks and projects as designed/planned. Student evaluates the outcomes/success of their performance during and after task execution for most tasks when asked for.		and projects as designed/planned and evaluates the outcomes/success of their performance during and after task execution. Uses evaluation to improve performance.		improvements in the execution of the work tasks and projects, thereby increasing the outcomes/success beyond expectations.	
1.10 Execution of rese Select the type(s) of	Study or experiment: Student	Study or experiment: Student		Study or experiment: Student		Study or experiment: Student		Study or experiment: Student	
activity relevant for the research under consideration	is not able to prepare for and/or execute a study or experiment based on detailed instructions in protocol. Data analysis : Student is overwhelmed by data. Is not able to use a spreadsheet program or any other appropriate data-basic processing program. Model or method development : Student is not able to make any modification/addition to an existing model/method.	is able to follow detailed instructions to some extent, but errors are made often, invalidating (part of) the study or experiment. Data analysis : Student is able to organize the data, but is no able to perform quality checks transformations and/or analyses, or student can do simple checks but not organiz data themselves. Model or method development : Student modifies an existing model/method, but errors occur and persist. No validation.	ot 5,	is able to follow detailed instructions (without critical assessment of sources of error and uncertainty). Data analysis: Student is able to organize data and perform some simple checks; but the way the data are used does not always clearly contribute to answering of the research questions. Model or method development: Student is able to make minor modifications (say a single formula or step) to an existing model/method. Validation is superficial or absent.		is able to judge the setup of an existing study or experiment and to include modifications if needed. Takes into account sources of error and uncertainty appropriately (quantitatively where applicable). Data analysis: Student is able to organize the data, perform commonly used checks and perform some advanced analyses on the data. Model or method development: Student is able to make major modifications to an existing model/method, based on literature and/or own analyses. Validation using appropriate (statistical) measures.		is able to setup or adapt a study or experiment tailored to answering the research questions. Appropriate (quantitative where applicable) consideration of sources of error and uncertainty. Execution of the study / experiment is flawless Data analysis : Student is able to organize the data, perform advanced and original analyses on the data Model or method development : Student is able to develop a model/method from scratch, or add an important new part to an existing model/method. Excellent theoretical basis for model/method as well as use of advanced validation methods.	S
2. Internship report (4 2.1. Context, goals and	0%) d delineation of research/project								<u> </u>
Context	No context of the research	Context of the research is		Context of the research is		Context of the research is		Context of the research is	
	given or the context described is nonsensical.	described in broad terms. There is no link between the described context and the research questions / hypothesis.		correct but limited in width and depth (e.g. does not go beyond the information provided by the supervisor).		defined well and to-the-point and includes the knowledge gap. The research questions / hypothesis emerge directly from the described context.		defined sharply, to-the-point, funnelling from the broader context to the knowledge gap. The research questions / hypothesis emerge directly from the described context. Novelty and innovation of the research are indicated.	
hypothesis	There is no researchable research question or testable hypothesis and the delineation of the research is absent.	Most research questions are unclear, or not researchable. Hypothesis is not specific and/or testable. Rationale of research is not well-defined. Delineation of the research is weak.		Rationale of research and research questions / hypothesis are mostly clear, but could have been defined sharper at some points. Delineation of the research is provided.		Rationale of research is clear. The research questions are researchable, hypotheses are testable. A clear delineation of the research is provided.		Rationale of the research is well-defined and linked to the context. The research questions are researchable, clear and formulated to-the- point. Hypothesis is specific and testable. Research is clearly delineated, also vis-a- vis existing research.	
2.2 Theoretical under	pinning of goals and framework No theoretical underpinning.	There is some connection		The relevant theory/literature		Student links the research to		Clear, complete yet to-the-	
2.3 Description and cl	noice of methods and processing	made between the research and underlying theories/literature, but the description shows serious errors.		is used, but the description is minimalistic, has not been tailored to the research at hand, or shows occasional errors.		relevant theory/literature and identifies knowledge gap. The relevant theory is synthesized, and successfully tailored to the research at hand.		point, and coherent linkage to relevant theories/literature. Student develops hypothesis based on well-defined knowledge gap. Description tailored to the research at hand.	
	No description of research methods and analysis of the information/data, or description is unintelligible. Methods and analysis are not appropriate.	Description of research methods and analysis of information/data is minimalist,. incomplete or unclear. Or some of the methods and analysis used an not appropriate.	e	Description of methods and analysis of information/data is mostly complete, but lacks clarity or detail at some points, hampering exact repetition of the work. Some minor parts of the methods and analysis used are not to most appropriate.		Description of methods and analysis of information/data is clear and complete. All methods and analysis are appropriate. Level of detail allows for a close to exact repetition of the work.		Description of methods and analysis of information/data is clear, complete and efficient/to-the-point. Methods and analysis of information/data are all appropriate. Level of detail and quality of description enables exact repetition of the work.	



	Rubric - MSc-researd	ch in	iternship	version	a: 4.0 (source: thesisinternship-	rubric-v	4.0_20220628.xlsm)			
Criterion and subcriter	Unacceptable		Insufficient	Needsi	Just sufficient	Ample	Good	Very good	Exemplary	Points of excellence
2.4 Presentation of da	Grade: 2	3	Grade: 4	5	Grade: 6	7	Grade: 8	9	Grade: 10	
2.4 Presentation of G	Based on the description the reader is not able to understand what results were achieved.		Results or their connection to the research questions / hypothesis are unclear. Text, figures, graphs, tables etc. contain several flaws.		Results are enumerated understandably and correctly, and are connected to the research questions / hypothesis. Text, figures, graphs, tables, etc. are appropriate and show few flaws.		Results are presented correctly and efficiently. Text, figures, graphs, tables etc. are linked to the goals of the research questions / hypothesis in a logical way. Text, figures, graphs, tables, etc. are appropriate and correct		Results are presented flawlessly and efficiently, with a clear storyline connecting the various results. Text, figures, graphs, tables etc. are well-chosen or original, and efficiently guide the reader to understand what results were achieved in relation to the research questions /	
2.5 Evaluation of resu							Charles to the transmit			
Critical evaluation of own research	No reflection on the results of the research, or discussion only touches invalid, trivial or overly general points of criticism.		Student identifies only some points of weakness in the research or weaknesses which are in reality irrelevant or non- existent.		Student indicates weaknesses in the research, but impacts on the conclusions are not weighed relative to each other.		Student indicates all weaknesses and strengths in the research, evaluates their impacts on the conclusions, and weighs their impact on the conclusions relative to each other. Furthermore, (better) alternatives for the methods used are indicated.		Student indicates both strengths and weaknesses in the research, evaluates their impacts on the conclusions and weighs and weighs their impact on the conclusions relative to each other. Furthermore, original/innovative (better) alternatives for the methods used are specified.	
Confrontation with literature	No confrontation with existing literature.		Only marginal confrontation vis-a-vis existing literature, or confrontation with irrelevant existing literature.		Only most obvious conflicts and correspondences with existing literature are identified. The value of the study is described, but it is not related to existing research.		Results are confronted with existing literature and a distinction is made between minor and major conflicts and correspondences. The added value of the research relative to existing literature is identified and weighed.		Results are critically confronted with existing literature. and distinction is made between minor and major conflicts or correspondences. The relative weight of own results and existing literature is assessed. The contribution of his work to the development of scientific concepts is specified.	
2.6 Clarity and justific									Contration and Parts for	
Conclusions	No link between research questions / hypothesis and the results plus conclusions.		Conclusions merely repeat results, or conclusions are not substantiated by results, or conclusions only address part of the research questions / hypothesis.		Conclusions are linked to the research questions / hypothesis, but not all research questions / hypothesis are addressed. Some conclusions are not substantiated by results or merely repeat results.		Clear link between research questions / hypothesis and conclusions. All conclusions substantiated by results. Conclusions are formulated exact		Conclusions are well-linked to all research questions / hypothesis and substantiated by results. Conclusions are formulated exact and concise and the line of argumentation is clear, logical and convincing. Conclusions address knowledge gaps, and proposal for future research is included.	
Recommendations	No recommendations given.		Recommendations are trivial.		Some recommendations are given, but the link of those to the conclusions is not always clear.		Recommendations are to-the- point, well-linked to the conclusions and original.		Recommendations are to-the- point, well-linked to the conclusions, original and are extensive enough to serve as project description for a new MSc-internship project.	
2.7 Writing skills										
	Document is badly structured. In many cases information appears in wrong locations. Level of detail is inappropriate throughout Paragraph structure is illogical and inhibits correct understanding of the text.		Main structure is correct, but lower level hierarchy and ordering is illogical. Some sections have overlapping functions leading to ambiguity in placement of information. Level of detail varies widely (information missing, or irrelevant information given). Structure within paragraphs and transition between paragraphs are often unclear or illogical.		Main structure is correct, placement of material in different chapters is somewhat illogical in some places. Level of detail could be improved in some places (irrelevant information given). Most paragraphs have a clear function. Transitions between paragraphs are predominantly clear and logical. Errors in structure do not inhibit correct understanding.		Main structure is correct , chapters and sections have a clear and unique function. Hierarchy of sections is correct. Ordering of sections is logical. All information occurs at the correct place. Level of detail is appropriate. Paragraphs fulfil a specific function. Transitions between paragraphs are clear and logical.		Well-structured, and clear and concise throughout. Very readable report where the structure helps to convey the structure, formulation and style facilitate understanding of the report. Paragraphs each fulfil a specific function, have a clear argumentation. Transitions between paragraphs are clear and logical; creating a clear line of argumentation.	
Fluency of writing	Formulations in the text are often incorrect/inexact inhibiting a correct interpretation of the text. Many spelling/grammar errors; inhibiting correct understanding of the text.		Vagueness and/or inexactness in wording affect the interpretation of the text. Many spelling/grammar errors, sometimes inhibiting correct understanding of the text.		Formulations in the text are ambiguous in some places but this does not inhibit a correct interpretation of the text. Spelling/grammar errors are rare, and do not inhibit correct understanding of the text.		Formulations in text are clear and exact, as well as concise. No spelling/grammar errors and readability of text is good.		Textual quality of document is such that it could be acceptable for a scientific or professional journal. No spelling/grammar errors; optimal use of grammar resulting in highly readable text.	
Citing and referencing	No literature cited or no proper reference list.		Reference list lacks information for many sources and/or literature is not or incorrectly referenced in the text.		Reference list contains literature used, but either referencing in text contains some errors, or information about sources is incomplete or incorrect in some cases.		Correct style of referencing in the text as well as in the reference list. Style is applied consistently throughout. All sources are traceable.		Correct style of referencing in the text as well as in the reference list. Style is applied consistently throughout. All sources are traceable. Style is appropriate for the type of document and the field of study.	



	Rubric - MSc-resea		iternsnip		: 4.0 (source: thesisinternship-					
Criterion and subcrite	i Unacceptable		Insufficient	Needsi	Just sufficient	Ample	Good	Very good	Exemplary	Points of excellen
	Grade: 2	3	Grade: 4	5	Grade: 6	7	Grade: 8	9	Grade: 10	
.8 Evaluation of rele	vance of the internship tasks									
Evaluation of	No evaluation of the project in		Incorrect or only superficial		Student identifies the added		Student identifies the added		Student identifies the added	
elevance of the	relation to the organization.		identification of added value		value of the project for the		value of their project for the		value of the project for the	
nternship tasks for			of the project for the		organization in broad or		organization correctly and		organization, and relates this	
he organization			organization.		somewhat vague terms.		specifically and precisely,		to the overall goals of the	
							·····		organization and future prospects.	
Evaluation of	No evaluation of the project in		Relevant issues ignored, or		Student relates the project to		Student relates the project to		Idem +suggestions for future	
elevance of the	relation to scientific or societal		irrelevant issues addressed.		some issues in scientific and/or		relevant issues in scientific		actions towards positive	
	context.		lifelevant issues addressed.		societal context. Relevance of		and/or societal context			
nternship tasks in	context.				the identified issues is mixed.		anu/or societal context		impact on science/society.	
ocietal and scientific					the identified issues is mixed.					
ontext	50()									
. Oral presentation (12.0				
•	1	ric of 20		es part o	f criterion 3.2 + criterion 3.3 an	a 3.4)			Dec. Its constants of	
resentation of data	Based on what is presented		Results or their connection to		Results are enumerated		Results are presented correctly		Results are presented	
nd results	the audience is not able to		the research questions /	1	understandably and correctly,		and efficiently, and are clearly		flawlessly. Text, figures,	
	understand what results were		hypothesis are unclear. Text,		and are connected to the		linked to the research		graphs, tables etc., in	
	achieved.		figures, graphs, tables etc.,		research questions /		questions / hypothesis. Text.		combination with students	
			and/or how they are explained		hypothesis. Text, figures,		figures, graphs, tables, etc.,		explanation, efficiently guide	
			by the student, contain several	"	graphs, tables, etc., and how		and how they are explained by		the audience to understand	
			flaws.		they are explained by the		the student, are appropriate		what results were achieved in	
					student, are mostly		and correct.		relation to the research	
					appropriate and show few				questions / hypothesis.	
larity and	Student provides no link		Student presents no clear		Student links conclusions to		Student makes clear links		Conclusions are well-linked to	
ustification of	between goals, results and		conclusions, merely repeats		the research questions /		between all research		all research questions /	
onclusions	conclusions.		results or does not		hypothesis but does not		questions / hypothesis and		hypothesis and substantiated	
			substantiate conclusions by		address all research questions		conclusion and substantiates		by results. Conclusions are	
			results, or only addresses part		/ hypothesis. Some		all conclusions by results.		formulated exact and concise	
			of the research questions /		conclusions are not		Formulates conclusions exact.		and the line of argumentation	
			hypothesis.		substantiated by results or				is clear, logical and convincing,	
bility to respond to	Student is not able to answer		Student is able to answer only		Student answers informative		Student answers both		Student answers both	
uestions	questions.		the simplest questions.		questions well, but has		informative questions and in-		informative questions and in-	
					difficulty to deal with in-depth		depth questions well.		depth questions excellently.	
					questions.				Answers are appropriate, clear	
					4				and to-the-point and such that	
									they enlighten the audience .	
									Answers are logically and	
									smoothly linked to the	
									presentation or previous	
									questions.	
2 Presentation skill	I s (note: relative to the rubric of	2021/2	022 this criterion combines crit	erion 3.	1 + part of criterion 3.2)		1		questions.	1
	Unsuited for the intended		At some points a bit off target;		Intended public taken into		Targeted to the intended		Enticing and purposeful	
	public or intended purpose.		makes it difficult for the		account, but at some points		public (language, depth,		throughout, facilitating	
	public of interface purpose.		audience to follow.		level of detail is inappropriate		length); appropriate for the		communication of the main	
			addictice to follow.		for intended audience (too		intended purpose.		messages to the audience.	
							intended purpose.		messages to the addience.	
	Presentation is chaotic.		Presentation has unclear		much or too little). Presentation is structured,	-	Presentation has a clear		Presentation is very well	
									,	
					though the audience gets lest		structure, is consise and to the			
			structure or lay-out.		though the audience gets lost		structure, is concise and to-the-		structured, is concise and to-	
			structure or lay-out.		though the audience gets lost in some places.		point. Good separation		the-point. Good separation	
			structure or lay-out.				point. Good separation between main message and		the-point. Good separation between main message and	
			structure or lay-out.				point. Good separation		the-point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Line of	
			structure or lay-out.				point. Good separation between main message and		the-point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Line of argumentation is clear, logical	
resentation					in some places.		point. Good separation between main message and side-steps.		the-point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Line of argumentation is clear, logical and convincing throughout	
resentation	Presented in such a way that		Presentation is uninspired		in some places. Presentation mostly clear, but		point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Inspired, lively presentation,		the-point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Line of argumentation is clear, logical and convincing throughout Lively and relaxed though	
resentation	Presented in such a way that the majority of audience could		Presentation is uninspired and/or monotonous and/or		in some places. Presentation mostly clear, but at some moments uninspired		point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Inspired, lively presentation, clearly spoken. Student		the-point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Line of argumentation is clear, logical and convincing throughout Lively and relaxed though concentrated presentation.	
resentation	Presented in such a way that		Presentation is uninspired and/or monotonous and/or student reads from slides;		in some places. Presentation mostly clear, but at some moments uninspired and/or monotonous and/or		point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Inspired, lively presentation, clearly spoken. Student recovers well from any small		the-point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Line of argumentation is clear, logical and convincing throughout Lively and relaxed though concentrated presentation. Clearly spoken in such a way	
resentation	Presented in such a way that the majority of audience could		Presentation is uninspired and/or monotonous and/or student reads from slides; attention of audience not		in some places. Presentation mostly clear, but at some moments uninspired and/or monotonous and/or unclearly spoken. At those		point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Inspired, lively presentation, clearly spoken. Student		the-point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Line of argumentation is clear, logical and convincing throughout Lively and relaxed though concentrated presentation. Clearly spoken in such a way that it keeps audience's	
resentation	Presented in such a way that the majority of audience could		Presentation is uninspired and/or monotonous and/or student reads from slides;		in some places. Presentation mostly clear, but at some moments uninspired and/or monotonous and/or unclearly spoken. At those moments attention of		point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Inspired, lively presentation, clearly spoken. Student recovers well from any small		the-point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Line of argumentation is clear, logical and convincing throughout Lively and relaxed though concentrated presentation. Clearly spoken in such a way that it keeps audience's attention. Smooth without	
resentation	Presented in such a way that the majority of audience could		Presentation is uninspired and/or monotonous and/or student reads from slides; attention of audience not		in some places. Presentation mostly clear, but at some moments uninspired and/or monotonous and/or unclearly spoken. At those moments attention of audience is lost Student has		point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Inspired, lively presentation, clearly spoken. Student recovers well from any small		the-point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Line of argumentation is clear, logical and convincing throughout Lively and relaxed though concentrated presentation. Clearly spoken in such a way that it keeps audience's	
resentation	Presented in such a way that the majority of audience could		Presentation is uninspired and/or monotonous and/or student reads from slides; attention of audience not		in some places. Presentation mostly clear, but at some moments uninspired and/or monotonous and/or unclearly spoken. At those moments attention of audience is lost Student has trouble recovering from		point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Inspired, lively presentation, clearly spoken. Student recovers well from any small		the-point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Line of argumentation is clear, logical and convincing throughout Lively and relaxed though concentrated presentation. Clearly spoken in such a way that it keeps audience's attention. Smooth without	
oice and poise	Presented in such a way that the majority of audience could		Presentation is uninspired and/or monotonous and/or student reads from slides; attention of audience not		in some places. Presentation mostly clear, but at some moments uninspired and/or monotonous and/or unclearly spoken. At those moments attention of audience is lost Student has		point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Inspired, lively presentation, clearly spoken. Student recovers well from any small		the-point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Line of argumentation is clear, logical and convincing throughout Lively and relaxed though concentrated presentation. Clearly spoken in such a way that it keeps audience's attention. Smooth without	
resentation oice and poise . Oral defence (5%)	Presented in such a way that the majority of audience could not follow.		Presentation is uninspired and/or monotonous and/or student reads from slides; attention of audience not		in some places. Presentation mostly clear, but at some moments uninspired and/or monotonous and/or unclearly spoken. At those moments attention of audience is lost Student has trouble recovering from		point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Inspired, lively presentation, clearly spoken. Student recovers well from any small		the-point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Line of argumentation is clear, logical and convincing throughout Lively and relaxed though concentrated presentation. Clearly spoken in such a way that it keeps audience's attention. Smooth without	
oice and poise oice and poise . Oral defence (5%)	Presented in such a way that the majority of audience could not follow. Sc-internship		Presentation is uninspired and/or monotonous and/or student reads from slides; attention of audience not captured.		in some places. Presentation mostly clear, but at some moments uninspired and/or monotonous and/or unclearly spoken. At those moments attention of audience is lost Student has trouble recovering from mistakes.		point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Inspired, lively presentation, clearly spoken. Student recovers well from any small mistake.		the-point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Line of argumentation is clear, logical and convincing throughout Lively and relaxed though concentrated presentation. Clearly spoken in such a way that it keeps audience's attention. Smooth without errors.	
resentation foice and poise . Oral defence (5%) .1 Defence of the M	Presented in such a way that the majority of audience could not follow. Sc-internship Student is not able to		Presentation is uninspired and/or monotonous and/or student reads from slides; attention of audience not captured.		in some places. Presentation mostly clear, but at some moments uninspired and/or monotonous and/or unclearly spoken. At those moments attention of audience is lost Student has trouble recovering from mistakes. Student defends their		point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Inspired, lively presentation, clearly spoken. Student recovers well from any small mistake. Student engages in a		the-point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Line of argumentation is clear, logical and convincing throughout Lively and relaxed though concentrated presentation. Clearly spoken in such a way that it keeps audience's attention. Smooth without errors.	
tructure of irresentation foice and poise Oral defence (5%) .1 Defence of the M lefence	Presented in such a way that the majority of audience could not follow. Sc-internship Student is not able to defend/discuss their		Presentation is uninspired and/or monotonous and/or student reads from slides; attention of audience not captured. Student has difficulty to explain the subject matter of		in some places. Presentation mostly clear, but at some moments uninspired and/or monotonous and/or unclearly spoken. At those moments attention of audience is lost Student has trouble recovering from mistakes.		point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Inspired, lively presentation, clearly spoken. Student recovers well from any small mistake. Student engages in a discussion about the contents		the-point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Line of argumentation is clear, logical and convincing throughout Lively and relaxed though concentrated presentation. Clearly spoken in such a way that it keeps audience's attention. Smooth without errors. Student engages in a lively and in-depth discussion about the	
resentation foice and poise . Oral defence (5%) .1 Defence of the M	Presented in such a way that the majority of audience could not follow. Sc-internship Student is not able to		Presentation is uninspired and/or monotonous and/or student reads from slides; attention of audience not captured.		in some places. Presentation mostly clear, but at some moments uninspired and/or monotonous and/or unclearly spoken. At those moments attention of audience is lost Student has trouble recovering from mistakes. Student defends their		point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Inspired, lively presentation, clearly spoken. Student recovers well from any small mistake. Student engages in a		the-point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Line of argumentation is clear, logical and convincing throughout Lively and relaxed though concentrated presentation. Clearly spoken in such a way that it keeps audience's attention. Smooth without errors. Student engages in a lively and in-depth discussion about the contents of the research and	
oice and poise oice and poise . Oral defence (5%)	Presented in such a way that the majority of audience could not follow. Sc-internship Student is not able to defend/discuss their		Presentation is uninspired and/or monotonous and/or student reads from slides; attention of audience not captured. Student has difficulty to explain the subject matter of		in some places. Presentation mostly clear, but at some moments uninspired and/or monotonous and/or unclearly spoken. At those moments attention of audience is lost Student has trouble recovering from mistakes. Student defends their		point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Inspired, lively presentation, clearly spoken. Student recovers well from any small mistake. Student engages in a discussion about the contents		the-point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Line of argumentation is clear, logical and convincing throughout Lively and relaxed though concentrated presentation. Clearly spoken in such a way that it keeps audience's attention. Smooth without errors. Student engages in a lively and in-depth discussion about the	
oice and poise oice and poise . Oral defence (5%) .1 Defence of the M	Presented in such a way that the majority of audience could not follow. Sc-internship Student is not able to defend/discuss their		Presentation is uninspired and/or monotonous and/or student reads from slides; attention of audience not captured. Student has difficulty to explain the subject matter of the research/project and		in some places. Presentation mostly clear, but at some moments uninspired and/or monotonous and/or unclearly spoken. At those moments attention of audience is lost Student has trouble recovering from mistakes. Student defends their		point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Inspired, lively presentation, clearly spoken. Student recovers well from any small mistake. Student engages in a discussion about the contents of the research and relevant		the-point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Line of argumentation is clear, logical and convincing throughout Lively and relaxed though concentrated presentation. Clearly spoken in such a way that it keeps audience's attention. Smooth without errors. Student engages in a lively and in-depth discussion about the contents of the research and	
resentation oice and poise . Oral defence (5%) .1 Defence of the M efence	Presented in such a way that the majority of audience could not follow. Sc-internship Student is not able to defend/discuss their		Presentation is uninspired and/or monotonous and/or student reads from slides; attention of audience not captured. Student has difficulty to explain the subject matter of the research/project and		in some places. Presentation mostly clear, but at some moments uninspired and/or monotonous and/or unclearly spoken. At those moments attention of audience is lost Student has trouble recovering from mistakes. Student defends their		point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Inspired, lively presentation, clearly spoken. Student recovers well from any small mistake. Student engages in a discussion about the contents of the research and relevant		the-point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Line of argumentation is clear, logical and convincing throughout Lively and relaxed though concentrated presentation. Clearly spoken in such a way that it keeps audience's attention. Smooth without errors. Student engages in a lively and in-depth discussion about the contents of the research and relevant current knowledge	
resentation oice and poise . Oral defence (5%) .1 Defence of the M efence	Presented in such a way that the majority of audience could not follow. Sc-internship Student is not able to defend/discuss their research/project and report.		Presentation is uninspired and/or monotonous and/or student reads from slides; attention of audience not captured. Student has difficulty to explain the subject matter of the research/project and report.		in some places. Presentation mostly clear, but at some moments uninspired and/or monotonous and/or unclearly spoken. At those moments attention of audience is lost Student has trouble recovering from mistakes. Student defends their research.		point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Inspired, lively presentation, clearly spoken. Student recovers well from any small mistake. Student engages in a discussion about the contents of the research and relevant current knowledge.		the-point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Line of argumentation is clear, logical and convincing throughout Lively and relaxed though concentrated presentation. Clearly spoken in such a way that it keeps audience's attention. Smooth without errors. Student engages in a lively and in-depth discussion about the contents of the research and relevant current knowledge and contexts.	
resentation oice and poise . Oral defence (5%) .1 Defence of the M efence	Presented in such a way that the majority of audience could not follow. Sc-internship Student is not able to defend/discuss their research/project and report. Student does not master the		Presentation is uninspired and/or monotonous and/or student reads from slides; attention of audience not captured. Student has difficulty to explain the subject matter of the research/project and report. Student limits theirselves in the discussion to own data,		in some places. Presentation mostly clear, but at some moments uninspired and/or monotonous and/or unclearly spoken. At those moments attention of audience is lost Student has trouble recovering from mistakes. Student defends their research. Student knows most of the contents of the work. Student		point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Inspired, lively presentation, clearly spoken. Student recovers well from any small mistake. Student engages in a discussion about the contents of the research and relevant current knowledge. Student masters the contents of the work and is able to		the-point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Line of argumentation is clear, logical and convincing throughout Lively and relaxed though concentrated presentation. Clearly spoken in such a way that it keeps audience's attention. Smooth without errors. Student engages in a lively and in-depth discussion about the contents of the research and relevant current knowledge and contexts. Student masters the contents of the work and beyond.	
resentation foice and poise . Oral defence (5%) .1 Defence of the M	Presented in such a way that the majority of audience could not follow. Sc-internship Student is not able to defend/discuss their research/project and report. Student does not master the		Presentation is uninspired and/or monotonous and/or student reads from slides; attention of audience not captured. Student has difficulty to explain the subject matter of the research/project and report. Student limits theirselves in the discussion to own data, and/or repeatedly		in some places. Presentation mostly clear, but at some moments uninspired and/or monotonous and/or unclearly spoken. At those moments attention of audience is lost Student has trouble recovering from mistakes. Student defends their research. Student knows most of the contents of the work. Student has difficulty to place it in it		point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Inspired, lively presentation, clearly spoken. Student recovers well from any small mistake. Student engages in a discussion about the contents of the research and relevant current knowledge. Student masters the contents of the work and is able to place it in scientific, societal or		the-point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Line of argumentation is clear, logical and convincing throughout Lively and relaxed though concentrated presentation. Clearly spoken in such a way that it keeps audience's attention. Smooth without errors. Student engages in a lively and in-depth discussion about the contents of the research and relevant current knowledge and contexts. Student masters the contents of the work and beyond. Student pro-actively places it	
resentation foice and poise . Oral defence (5%) .1 Defence of the M befence	Presented in such a way that the majority of audience could not follow. Sc-internship Student is not able to defend/discuss their research/project and report. Student does not master the		Presentation is uninspired and/or monotonous and/or student reads from slides; attention of audience not captured. Student has difficulty to explain the subject matter of the research/project and report. Student limits theirselves in the discussion to own data,		in some places. Presentation mostly clear, but at some moments uninspired and/or monotonous and/or unclearly spoken. At those moments attention of audience is lost Student has trouble recovering from mistakes. Student defends their research. Student knows most of the contents of the work. Student		point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Inspired, lively presentation, clearly spoken. Student recovers well from any small mistake. Student engages in a discussion about the contents of the research and relevant current knowledge. Student masters the contents of the work and is able to		the-point. Good separation between main message and side-steps. Line of argumentation is clear, logical and convincing throughout Lively and relaxed though concentrated presentation. Clearly spoken in such a way that it keeps audience's attention. Smooth without errors. Student engages in a lively and in-depth discussion about the contents of the research and relevant current knowledge and contexts. Student masters the contents of the work and beyond.	



	Rubric - MSc-resear	ch ir	nternship	versio	n: 4.0 (source: thesisi	ternship-rubric	•v4.0_20220628.xlsm)			
Criterion and subcrite	Unacceptable		Insufficient	Needsi	Just sufficient	Ample	Good	Very good	Exemplary	Points of excellenc
	Grade: 2	3	Grade: 4	5	Grade: 6	7	Grade: 8	9	Grade: 10	
6. Reflection report (p	ass/fail)									
	Pass	Fail								
.1 Reflection on acti	vities in relation to personal lear	ning ou	tcomes and programm	e learning outc	omes					
Research Questions /	Student identifies own									
ypothesis	strengths and weaknesses and									
xperience - own	connects those to explicitly									
rengths and	described experiences during									
eaknesses	the research questions /									
	hypothesis.									
ersonal learning	Student describes investments									
als (self-	(=how they worked on the									
anagement)	personal learning outcomes),									
anogement)	achievements (=results of									
	these efforts) and how these									
	are related (=effectiveness of									
	the approach).									
	the approach).									
search Questions /	Student describes at least one									
pothesis	event or situation in which									
perience -	they was involved and that									
ogramme learning	relates to a formulated									
tcomes	learning outcome of the study									
	programme, properly									
	distinguishing between the									
	event description and the									
	personal emotions involved,									
	and able to formulate personal									
	points of improvement and									
	related actions in a future									
	similar situation.									
	sonal strengths and weaknesses	in relat	ion to professional amb	itions						
apabilities in	Student evaluates how own									
lation to	strengths and weaknesses may									
ofessional	affect their professional									
nbitions	ambitions.									
ofessional	Students identifies if and how									
nbitions (career	the experiences during the									
terest + career	research questions /									
nbition)	hypothesis have strengthened									
	or changed their ambitions									
	with respect to their intended									
	working field or preferred type									
	of organization.				_					
rsion: 4.0	Major number (4): indicates vers	ion of	contents							
	Minor number (0): indicates tech		r lavout changes							

