



Memo

Mr F. Verstraete European Commission Chairperson Working Group on Agricultural Contaminants in Food

Patrick Mulder

то

Dear Mr Verstraete,

On behalf of Dr. Patrick Mulder, I hereby send you an updated version of the results of a first brief survey on available validated analytical methods for individual hydroxyanthracene derivatives (HAD) present in botanical preparations of *Aloe*, *Rheum*, *Rhamnus* and *Cassia* species and the methods available to quantify the total HAD content in these products. The survey was carried out on June 30-July 6, 2020 with help of Dr. Aleksandrs Veršilovskis.

Please contact Dr Mulder or me if you have additional questions.

With kind regards,

Monique de Nijs

EURL mycotoxins & plant toxins Wageningen Food Safety Research Wageningen Food Safety Research

DATE November 6, 2020

SUBJECT EURL-MP-report_002 Inventory analytical methods hydroxyanthracene derivatives

VISITORS' ADDRESS Wageningen Campus Building 123 Akkersmaalsbos 2 6708 WB Wageningen

INTERNET www.wur.nl

HANDLED BY Monique de Nijs

TELEPHONE +31(0)317-480256

EMAIL eurl.mycotoxinsplanttoxins@wur.nl

Wageningen Research Foundation/Wageningen Food Safety Research (WFSR) is part of Wageningen University & Research. WFSR carries out research and analysis contributing to the safety and reliability of food and feed. WFSR is ISO 17025 and ISO 17043 accredited (the accredited tests are described on www.rva.nl (no. L014, L235 and R013)). ^{DATE} November 6, 2020

PAGE 2 of 20

Available validated analytical methods for the determination of aloe-emodin, emodin and danthron, and the methods available to quantify the total HAD content in botanical products.

Introduction

In 2018 the EFSA Panel on Food Additives and Nutrient Sources added to Food (ANS) prepared an opinion on the safety of hydroxyanthracene derivatives (HAD) for use in food (EFSA 2018). Based on the data available, the Panel concluded that hydroxyanthracene derivatives should be regarded as genotoxic and carcinogenic unless there are specific data to the contrary, such as for rhein, and that there is a safety concern for extracts containing HADs although uncertainty persists.

Related to this opinion the Commission asked the EURL mycotoxins & plant toxins in food and feed to carry out a literature search for method(s) of analysis that can be widely used by official laboratories, which have been validated and proven to be able to reliably detect the following substances in botanical preparations:

- 1) aloe-emodin, emodin and danthron;
- 2) HADs/quantify the total HAD content in *Aloe* extracts/products;
- 3) HADs/quantify the total HAD content in *Rheum* extracts/products, *Cassia* extracts/products, and *Rhamnus* extracts/products.

The issue of sampling is also briefly addressed.

Literature search:

a. Scientific literature was search for the following terms:

- Compounds:
- 1. emodin and aloe-emodin (dihyroxyanthraquinone), danthron (synthetic dihyroxyanthraquinone);
- 2. aloin A and aloin B (anthrone glycoside);
- 3. other hydroxyanthracene derivatives (HAD), including glycosides, diglycosides, see appendix EFSA opinion.

Plant materials / food supplements / botanical extracts:

- 1. root and rhizome of *Rheum palmatum* L. and/or *Rheum officinale* Baillon and/or their hybrids;
- 2. leaves or fruits of Cassia senna L. and/or Cassia angustifolia Vahl;
- 3. bark of *Rhamnus frangula* L., bark of *Rhamnus purshianus* D.C.;
- 4. leaves of *Aloe barbadensis* Miller and/or various *Aloe* species, mainly *Aloe ferox* Miller and its hybrids.
- b. The methods available in the collected publications were analysed for:
 - 1. Principle of the method.
 - 2. Scope of the method.
 - 3. LOD/LOQ.

Results

a. Literature related to methods for analysis of aloe-emodin, emodin and danthron in food supplements.

More than 3000 references were detected using the search terms, of which about 100 were relevant. The most interesting methods are presented in Table 1 and 4. Most methods include emodin and/or aloe-emodin and other closely related dihydroxyanthracene derivatives. Emodin is sometimes included in LC-MS/MS multimethods with a broad scope covering mycotoxins and/or plant metabolites. Almost no analytical methods were found for determination of danthron itself or in combination with aloe-emodin or emodin or other relevant compounds. This is likely due to the fact that danthron is a synthetic HAD, not supposed to be present in the plant materials. Multi-toxin methods could be used as a good starting point for method development for the target compounds.

Extraction of the supplements is most often carried out using (aqueous) methanol or ethanol, sometimes acidified with hydrochloric acid or acetic acid. After extraction, the suspension is centrifuged, sometimes after sonification and filtered through a 0.2 or 0.45 μ m filter.

In most methods HPLC is used to separate the compounds. For screening purposes, detection is carried out using UV or DAD. For identification and quantification, LC-MS techniques are used (LC-MS/MS, LC-Orbitrap-MS, DESI-HRMS) and sometimes GC-MS.

Limits of detection and quantification are typically in the ng/mL to μ g/mL range for the final extracts. When available, the LOD/LOQ in the starting material is also given in Table 1. LODs/LOQs in the starting material typically are in the 0.1-50 μ g/g range. LC-MS/MS methods are generally somewhat more sensitive than HPLC-UV methods, but this also depends on the type of purification applied. Ref 16 of Table 4 provides a representative LC-MS/MS method for the analysis of aloe-emodin, emodin, chrysophanol and rhein. LOQs for this method range from 0.63 μ g/g for emodin to 1.2 μ g/kg for aloe-emodin, 2.5 μ g/g for rhein and 25 μ g/g for chrysophanol.

| Analyte | LOD in final extract | LOQ in final extract | LOD in dry matrix | LOQ in dry matrix | Technique | Ref. (Table 4) |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Aloe-emodin | | | 0.54 µg/g | - | HPLC-UV/LC-MS | 2 |
| Aloe-emodin | 0.23 µg/mL | 0.92 µg/mL | | | HPLC-UV | 3 |
| Aloe-emodin | 0.04 µg/mL | 0.12 µg/mL | | | HPLC-UV | 4 |
| Aloe-emodin | 18 ng/mL | 45 ng/mL | 4.5 µg/g | 11 µg/g | HPLC-DAD/LC- MS | 8 |
| Aloe-emodin | 0.42 µg/mL | 1.34 µg/mL | | | SFC-DAD | 10 |
| Aloe-emodin | 0.01 µg/mL | 0.03 µg/mL | | | HPLC-UV/LC-MS | 11 |
| Aloe-emodin | 10 ng/mL | 20 ng/mL | | | HPLC-UV | 12 |
| Aloe-emodin | 20 ng/mL | - | | | GC-MS | 13 |
| Aloe-emodin | 0.28 ng/mL | 1.2 ng/mL | 0.28 µg/g | 1.2 µg/g | LC-MS/MS | 16 |
| Aloe-emodin | 0.45 ng/mL | 1.51 ng/mL | 0.14 µg/g | 0.45 µg/g | LC-QTOF-MS | 23 |
| Emodin | 5. | | 0.23 µg/g | - | HPLC-UV/LC-MS | 2 |
| Emodin | 0.28 µg/mL | 0.83 µg/mL | 1 3, 3 | | HPLC-UV | 3 |
| Emodin | 0.06 µg/mL | 0.2 µg/mL | | | HPLC-UV | 4 |
| Emodin | | | 0.03 µg/g | 0.1 µg/g | LC-MS/MS | 5 |
| Emodin | 16.7 ng/mL | 20 ng/mL | 4.2 µg/g | 5 µg/g | HPLC-DAD/LC- MS | 8 |
| Emodin | | | 0.2 µg/g | 0.6 µg/g | LC-MS/MS | 9 |
| Emodin | 0.42 µg/mL | 1.34 µg/mL | | | SFC-DAD | 10 |
| Emodin | 10 ng/mL | - | | | GC-MS | 13 |
| Emodin | 0.12 µg/mL | 0.32 µg/mL | 4.8 µg/g | 12.8 µg/g | HPLC-UV | 14 |
| Emodin | 0.19 ng/mL | 0.63 ng/mL | 0.19 µg/g | 0.63 µg/g | LC-MS/MS | 16 |
| Emodin | 0.09 µg/mL | 0.24 µg/mL | 9 µg/g | 24 µg/g | HPLC-UV | 17 |
| Emodin | 2 ng/mL | 6 ng/mL | | | LC-Orbitrap-MS | 18 |
| Emodin | 0.02 µg/mL | 0.06 µg/mL | | | LC-Q-TOF-MS | 20 |
| Emodin | 0.62 ng/mL | 2.08 ng/mL | 0.19 µg/g | 0.62 µg/g | LC-QTOF-MS | 23 |
| Emodin-8-O- β-D-glucoside | 0.15 μg/mL | 0.9 μg/mL | | | LC-Orbitrap-MS | 19 |
| Emodin-8-O- β -D-gluco- pyranoside, ω -hydroxy- emodin | 0.02 µg/mL | 0.06 µg/mL | | | LC-Q-TOF-MS | 20 |
| Chrysophanol | 0.0075 µg/mL | 0.025 µg/mL | 7.5 µg/g | 25 µg/g | LC-MS/MS | 16 |
| Chrysophanol | 2.53 ng/mL | 8.44 ng/mL | 0.76 µg/g | 2.53 µg/g | LC-QTOF-MS | 23 |
| Physcion | 0.12 µg/mL | 0.32 µg/mL | | | HPLC-UV | 14 |
| Physcion | 0.01 µg/mL | 0.033 µg/mL | 10 µg/g | 33 µg/g | LC-MS/MS | 16 |
| Rhein | 33.3 ng/ml | 100 ng/ml | 8 µg/g | 25 µg/g | HPLC-DAD/LC- MS | 8 |
| Rhein | 0.01 µg/mL | 0.02 µg/mL | | T | HPLC-UV/LC-MS | 11 |
| Rhein | 0.83 ng/mL | 2.5 ng/mL | 0.83 µg/g | 2.5 µg/g | LC-MS/MS | 16 |
| Rhein | 0.14 µg/mL | 0.44 µg/mL | 14 µg/g | 44 µg/g | HPLC-UV | 17 |
| Rhein | 1.24 ng/mL | 4.12 ng/mL | 0.38 µg/g | 1.24 µg/g | LC-QTOF-MS | 23 |

Table 1. Overview of representative LODs/LOQs reported in the literature for emodin and related hydroxyanthracene derivatives in food supplements.

DATE November 6, 2020

PAGE 3 of 20 ^{DATE} November 6, 2020

PAGE 4 of 20

b. Literature related to analysis of HADs/quantify the total HAD content in Aloe extracts/products.

More than 250 references were found using the search terms. The most relevant methods are presented in Table 2 and 5. There are only a limited number of methods available for analysis of aloin A/B in food supplements. Practical methods that quantify the total HAD content in food supplements of *Aloe* species were not identified.

Extraction of the supplements is most often carried out using (aqueous) methanol or ethanol, sometimes acidified with acetic acid, or with PBS buffer.

Mostly HPLC-UV and LC-MS techniques are used to quantify aloin A/B and glycosides. Some methods use GC-MS or CE-FLD.

Limits of detection and quantification are typically in the ng/mL to μ g/mL range for the final extracts. When available, the LOD/LOQ in the starting material is also given in Table 2. LODs/LOQs in the starting material typically are in the 0.1-1 μ g/g range. Ref 7 from Table 5 provides a representative LC-UV method for the analysis of Aloin A and B. Reported LOQs for this method are 0.23 μ g/mL for aloin A and 0.21 μ g/mL for aloin B in Aloe extracts.

| Analyte | LOD in final extract | LOQ in final extract | LOD in dry matrix | LOQ in dry matrix | Technique | Ref. (Table 5) |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Aloin | 0.0053 µg/mL | 0.0161 µg/mL | | | HPLC-UV | 10 |
| Aloin A | | | 0.05 µg/g | 0.1 µg/g | GC-MS | 3 |
| Aloin A | 0.092 µg/mL | 0.23 µg/mL | | | HPLC-UV | 7 |
| Aloin A | 7.3 ng/mL | 24.5 ng/mL | | | Cap-CE-FLD | 12 |
| Aloin A | 0.54 µg/mL | - | | | HPLC-UV | 6 |
| Aloin B | 0.087 µg/mL | 0.21 µg/mL | | | HPLC-UV | 7 |
| Aloin B | 7.5 ng/mL | 24.9 ng/mL | | | Cap-CE-FLD | 12 |
| Aloin A/B | 0.4 µg/mL | 1.5 µg/mL | | | Nano-LC-UV/MS | 5 |
| Aloin A/B | 2 µg/spot | - | | | TLC+HPLC-UV | 4 |
| Aloin A/B | 10 ng/mL | 20 ng/mL | | | HPLC-UV | 9 |
| Aloin A/B, aloeresin, hydroxyaloin, aloinoside A/B | | | 0.15 µg/g | - | HPLC-UV | 2 |
| Total antranoids | - | 0.815 mg/mL | | | bsqHSOC (NMR) | 13 |

Table 2. Overview of representative LODs/LOQs reported in the literature for HADs in Aloe extracts/products.

c. Literature related to analysis of HADs/quantify the total HAD content in Rheum Cassia or Rhamnus extracts/products.

Less than 50 scientific publications were detected using these search terms. After reviewing only a few methods are available. About 500 scientific papers were found for the analysis of dianthrone glycosides (sennosides). A small number of scientific papers were identified on the analysis of the anthrone glycosides: aloinoside, cascaroside, glucofrangulin and dianthrones: palmidin C. The most relevant methods were selected and are presented in Table 3 and 6.

Extraction of the supplements is most often carried out using (aqueous) methanol or ethanol. After extraction, the suspension is centrifuged, sometimes after sonification and filtered through a 0.45 μ m filter. Many reports were found on isolation of the compounds from the plant themselves, aiming at high quantities. These are not directly relevant for the analysis at low concentrations.

Authors use HPLC to separate the compounds. For screening purposes, detection is carried out using UV. Identification and quantification is carried out using DAD and less frequently with LC-(HR)MS.

Limits of detection and quantification are typically in the ng/mL to μ g/mL range for the final extracts. When available, the LOD/LOQ in the starting material is also given in Table 3. LODs/LOQs in the starting material typically are in the 0.5-30 μ g/g range.

| Analyte | LOD in final extract | LOQ in final extract | LOD in dry matrix | LOQ in dry matrix | Technique | Ref. (Table 6) | AGE Of |
|-------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|
| Sennoside A | 0.2 µg/mL | - | | | HPLC-UV | 1 | T |
| Sennoside A | 0.01 µg/mL | - | 0.5 µg/g | - | HPLC-UV | 5 | |
| Sennoside A | 0.8 µg/mL | 2.1 µg/mL | | | HPLC-UV | 7 | |
| Sennoside A | 0.07 µg/mL | 0.24 µg/mL | 8.8 µg/g | 30 µg/g | HPLC-UV | 13 | |
| Sennoside A | 1.4 ng/mL | 4.7 ng/mL | 0.84 µg/g | 2.8 µg/g | LC-TOF-MS | 14 | |
| Sennoside A | 1 µg/mL | 2 µg/mL | | | HPLC-UV | 15 | |
| Sennoside A | 0.7 µg/mL | 2.31 µg/mL | | | LC-MS | 16 | |
| Sennoside B | 0.1 µg/mL | - | | | HPLC-UV | 1 | |
| Sennoside B | 0.01 µg/mL | - | 0.5 µg/g | - | HPLC-UV | 5 | |
| Sennoside B | 0.6 µg/mL | 2 µg/mL | | | HPLC-UV | 7 | |
| Sennoside B | 0.05 µg/mL | 0.2 µg/mL | 6.25 µg/g | 25 µg/g | LC-UV | 13 | |
| Sennoside B | 1.3 ng/mL | 4.3 ng/mL | 0.78 µg/g | 2.6 µg/g | LC-TOF-MS | 14 | ٦ |
| Sennoside B | 2 µg/mL | 3 µg/mL | | | HPLC-UV | 15 | |
| Sennoside B | 0.07 µg/mL | 0.23 µg/mL | | | HPLC-UV | 16 | |
| Frangulin A | 61.4 ng/mL | 122.9 ng/mL | 12.3 µg/g | 24.6 µg/g | HPLC-UV | 10 | |
| Frangulin B | 77.7 ng/mL | 155.3 ng/mL | 15.5 µg/g | 31.0 µg/g | HPLC-UV | 10 | |

Table 3. Overview of representative LODs/LOQs reported in the literature for HADs in Rheum Cassia or Rhamnus extracts/products.

DATE November 6, 2020

Sampling

The literature was not analysed for specific sampling strategies of these food supplements. In general, if these food supplements are sold in retail packages containing 30 to 120 capsules per retail package, the sampling strategy as suggested for red yeast rice food supplements as described in Commission Regulation (EC) No 401/2006 might be applied.

Conclusions

A large number of methods for quantification of aloe-emodin, emodin, aloin A/B and other HADs from the botanical preparations composed of or containing *Aloe, Rheum, Cassia* or *Rhamnus* was found in literature. At least part of the methods was (in-house) validated. Methods typically do not include danthrone, which is a synthetic HAD. The relevant HADs are commercially available as analytical standards.

Methods that aim at the determination of the total HAD content without separation of individual compounds are rare. These methods are typically based on NMR techniques.

Extraction of aloe-emodin, emodin, aloin A/B and other HADs from the botanical preparations composed of or containing *Aloe, Rheum, Cassia* or *Rhamnus* is often performed by an aqueous solution of methanol or ethanol, optionally acidified with an organic acid. Methods have been developed that use direct injection (after filtration) of the extract, as well as methods that use an additional clean-up step (liquid-liquid extraction or solid phase extraction) to obtain a purified (and concentrated) extract.

Most analytical methods that are available aim at the separation (mostly HPLC) of individual hydroxyanthracene derivatives in combination with UV/DAD or (HR)MS(/MS) detection. For the most important HADs, limits of detection or quantification reported fall in the low ng/mL to μ g/mL range in the final extract. This roughly corresponds to 0.1-50 mg/kg in the botanical product. Multi methods that incorporate several HADs (including some glycoside derivatives) are also available. A representative LC-MS/MS method for the analysis of aloe-emodin, emodin, chrysophanol and rhein reports LOQs in the range from 0.63 μ g/g for emodin to 1.2 μ g/g for aloe-emodin, 2.5 μ g/g for rhein and 25 μ g/g for chrysophanol. A representative LC-UV method for the analysis of Aloin A and B reports LOQs of 0.23 μ g/mL for aloin A and 0.21 μ g/mL for aloin B in Aloe extracts.

Based on the similarities between the analytical methods used for the botanical preparations of *Aloe, Rheum, Cassia* or *Rhamnus*, it seems plausible that existing methods can be combined or new multi-methods can be developed that cover all relevant HADs for these four groups of botanical preparations. In-house validation and interlaboratory comparison or proficiency testing would be needed to gain insight in the currently achievable performance criteria at the various levels. Furthermore, the inhomogeneity of products as sold on the market needs to be investigated to provide recommendations regarding the amount of sub-sample to be used by the laboratory for extraction.

References

November 6, 2020

PAGE 6 of 20

DATE

- Aichner, D. and M. Ganzera (2015). Analysis of anthraquinones in rhubarb (*Rheum palmatum* and *Rheum officinale*) by Supercritical Fluid Chromatography. Talanta 144: 1239-1244.
- Bala, S., G. C. Uniyal, T. Dubey and S. P. Singh (2001). An improved method for the analysis of sennosides in *Cassia angustifolia* by High-Performance Liquid Chromatography. Phytochem Anal 12(4): 277-280.
- Brown, P. N., R. Yu, C. H. Kuan, J. Finley, E. M. Mudge and S. Dentali (2014). Determination of aloin A and aloin B in *Aloe vera* raw materials and finished products by High-Performance Liquid Chromatography: Single-laboratory validation. J AOAC Int 97(5): 1323-1328.
- Cao, G., X. Chen, X. Wu, Q. Li and H. Zhang (2015). Rapid identification and comparative analysis of chemical constituents in herbal medicine Fufang decoction by Ultra-High-Pressure Liquid Chromatography coupled with a hybrid linear Ion Trap–High-Resolution Mass Spectrometry. Biomedical Chromatography 29(5): 698-708.
- Chang, Q., Y. Peng, C. Dan, Q. Shuai and S. Hu (2015). Rapid in situ identification of bioactive compounds in plants by in vivo Nanospray High-Resolution Mass Spectrometry. J Agric Food Chem 63(11): 2911-2918.
- Dai, H., Z. Chen, B. Shang and Q. Chen (2018). Identification and quantification of four anthraquinones in rhubarb and its preparations by Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry. J Chromatogr Sci 56(3): 195-201.
- Dhanani, T., R. Singh, N. Reddy, A. Trivedi and S. Kumar (2017). Comparison on extraction yield of sennoside A and sennoside B from senna (*Cassia angustifolia*) using conventional and non conventional extraction techniques and their quantification using a validated HPLC-PDA detection method. Nat Prod Res 31(9): 1097-1101.
- Ding, F., J. Liu, R. Du, Q. Yu, L. Gong, H. Jiang and R. Rong (2019). Qualitative and quantitative analysis for the chemical constituents of *Tetrastigma hemsleyanum* Diels et Gilg using Ultra-High Performance Liquid Chromatography/Hybrid Quadrupole-Orbitrap Mass Spectrometry and preliminary screening for anti-iInfluenza virus components. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 2019: 9414926.
- Du, K. Z., Y. Chen, J. Li, G. Tang, F. Tian, J. He and Y. Chang (2018). Quantification of eight active ingredients in crude and processed *Radix polygoni multiflori* applying miniaturized Matrix Solid-Phase Dispersion Microextraction followed by UHPLC. J Sep Sci 41(17): 3486-3495.
- EFSA (2018). Safety of hydroxyanthracene derivatives for use in food. EFSA Journal 2018;16(1):5090: pp. 97.
- ElSohly, M. A., W. Gul and T. P. Murphy (2004). Analysis of the anthraquinones aloe-emodin and aloin by Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry. Int Immunopharmacol 4(14): 1739-1744.
- Fanali, S., Z. Aturki, G. D'Orazio, A. Rocco, A. Ferranti, L. Mercolini and M. A. Raggi (2010). Analysis of *Aloe*-based phytotherapeutic products by using nano-LC-MS. J Sep Sci 33(17-18): 2663-2670.
- Fernand, V. E., D. T. Dinh, S. J. Washington, S. O. Fakayode, J. N. Losso, R. O. van Ravenswaay and I. M. Warner (2008). Determination of pharmacologically active compounds in root extracts of *Cassia alata* L. by use of High Performance Liquid Chromatography. Talanta 74(4): 896-902.
- Fierens, C. and J. Corthout (2014). Anthranoid-containing medicines and food supplements on the Belgian market: A comparative study. J Pharm Belg(2): 40-49.
- Fung, H.-Y., Y. Lang, H.-M. Ho, T.-L. Wong, D.-L. Ma, C.-H. Leung and Q.-B. Han (2017). Comprehensive quantitative analysis of 32 chemical ingredients of a Chinese patented drug sanhuang tablet. Molecules 22(1): 111.
- Genovese, S., F. Tammaro, L. Menghini, G. Carlucci, F. Epifano and M. Locatelli (2010). Comparison of three different extraction methods and HPLC determination of the anthraquinones aloe-emodine, emodine, rheine, chrysophanol and physcione in the bark of *Rhamnus alpinus* L. (Rhamnaceae). Phytochemical Analysis 21(3): 261-267.
- Girreser, U., T. Ugolini and S. S. Cicek (2019). Quality control of *Aloe vera* (*Aloe barbadensis*) and *Aloe ferox* using Band-Selective Quantitative Heteronuclear Single Quantum Correlation Spectroscopy (bs-qHSQC). Talanta 205: 120109.
- Khoshal, A. K., B. Novak, P. G. P. Martin, T. Jenkins, M. Neves, G. Schatzmayr, I. P. Oswald and P. Pinton (2019). Co-occurrence of DON and emerging mycotoxins in worldwide finished pig feed and their combined toxicity in intestinal cells. Toxins (Basel) 11(12).
- Kline, D., V. Ritruthai, S. Babajanian, Q. Gao, P. Ingle, P. Chang and G. Swanson (2017). Quantitative analysis of aloins and aloin-emodin in *Aloe vera* raw materials and finished products using High-Performance Liquid Chromatography: Single-laboratory validation, first action 2016.09. J AOAC Int 100(3): 661-670.
- Kusari, S., S. Zuhlke, T. Borsch and M. Spiteller (2009). Positive correlations between hypericin and putative precursors detected in the quantitative secondary metabolite spectrum of *Hypericum*. Phytochemistry 70(10): 1222-1232.
- Laub, A., A. K. Sendatzki, G. Palfner, L. A. Wessjohann, J. Schmidt and N. Arnold (2020). HPTLC-DESI-HRMS-Based profiling of anthraquinones in complex mixtures-a proof-ofconcept study using crude extracts of Chilean mushrooms. Foods 9(2).
- Li, C. Y., C. H. Chiu, H. S. Huang, C. H. Lin and T. S. Wu (2006). High-Performance Liquid Chromatographic method for simultaneous quantification of eight major biologically

active ingredients in 'Da-Chai-Hu-Tang' preparation. Biomed Chromatogr 20(4): 305-308.

- Locatelli, M., S. Genovese, G. Carlucci, D. Kremer, M. Randic and F. Epifano (2012). Development and application of High-Performance Liquid Chromatography for the study of two new oxyprenylated anthraquinones produced by *Rhamnus* species. Journal of Chromatography A 1225: 113-120.
- Moein, E., H. Hajimehdipoor, T. Toliyat, R. Choopani and M. Hamzeloo-Moghadam (2017). Formulation of an aloe-based product according to Iranian traditional medicine and development of its analysis method. Daru 25(1): 19.
- Mueller, S. O., M. Schmitt, W. Dekant, H. Stopper, J. Schlatter, P. Schreier and W. K. Lutz (1999). Occurrence of emodin, chrysophanol and physcion in vegetables, herbs and liquors. Genotoxicity and anti-genotoxicity of the anthraquinones and of the whole plants. Food Chem Toxicol 37(5): 481-491.
- Nesměrák, K., K. Kudláček, P. Čambal, M. Štícha, P. Kozlík and V. Červený (2020). Authentication of senna extract from the eighteenth century and study of its composition by HPLC–MS. Monatshefte für Chemie - Chemical Monthly.
- Ni, Y., R. Song and S. Kokot (2012). Analysis of HPLC fingerprints: Discrimination of raw and processed rhubarb samples with the aid of chemometrics. Analytical Methods 4(1): 171-176.
- Nigutova, K., S. Kusari, S. Sezgin, L. Petijova, J. Henzelyova, M. Balintova, M. Spiteller and E. Cellarova (2019). Chemometric evaluation of hypericin and related phytochemicals in 17 in vitro cultured *Hypericum* species, hairy root cultures and hairy root-derived transgenic plants. J Pharm Pharmacol 71(1): 46-57.
- Petric, J., B. Sarkanj, I. Mujic, A. Mujic, M. Sulyok, R. Krska, D. Subaric and S. Jokic (2018). Effect of pretreatments on mycotoxin profiles and levels in dried figs. Arh Hig Rada Toksikol 69(4): 328-333.
- Ramirez Duron, R., L. Ceniceros Almaguer, N. C. Cavazos Rocha, P. G. Silva Flores and N.
 W. De Torres (2008). Comparison of High-Performance Liquid Chromatographic and Thin-Layer Chromatographic methods for determination of aloin in herbal products containing *Aloe vera*. J AOAC Int 91(6): 1265-1270.
- Rosenthal, I., E. Wolfram and B. Meier (2014). An HPLC method to determine sennoside A and sennoside B in *Sennae fructus* and *Sennae folium*. Pharmeur Bio Sci Notes 2014: 92-102.
- Rosenthal, I., E. Wolfram, S. Peter and B. Meier (2014). Validated method for the analysis of frangulins A and B and glucofrangulins A and B using HPLC and UHPLC. J Nat Prod 77(3): 489-496.
- Sanchez-Machado, D. I., J. Lopez-Cervantes, M. F. Mariscal-Dominguez, P. Cruz-Flores, O. N. Campas-Baypoli, E. U. Cantu-Soto and A. Sanches-Silva (2017). An HPLC procedure for the quantification of aloin in latex and gel from *Aloe barbadensis* leaves. J Chromatogr Sci 55(3): 251-257.
- Shi, Y., Y. Zhong, A. Sun, B. Gao, C. Sun and J. Xiong (2018). Validation of a rapid and simple High-Performance Liquid Chromatography-Electrospray Ionization-Mass Spectrometry method for simultaneous analysis of 15 key chemicals in slimming foods and herbal products. J Chromatogr Sci 56(10): 912-919.
- Sulyok, M., F. Beed, S. Boni, A. Abass, A. Mukunzi and R. Krska (2015). Quantitation of multiple mycotoxins and cyanogenic glucosides in cassava samples from Tanzania and Rwanda by an LC-MS/MS-based multi-toxin method. Food Addit Contam Part A Chem Anal Control Expo Risk Assess 32(4): 488-502.
- Sun, S. W. and H. T. Su (2002). Validated HPLC method for determination of sennosides A and B in senna tablets. J Pharm Biomed Anal 29(5): 881-894.
- Tan, P., Y.-I. Zhao, J.-I. Cao, X.-h. Xiao and J.-b. Wang (2015). Development and validation of Ultrahigh-Performance Liquid Chromatography for the determination of sennoside A and sennoside B in laxatives based on optimal chromatographic parameters. Analytical Methods 7(23): 9817-9824.
- Tang, W., M. Wan, Z. Zhu, G. Chen and X. Huang (2008). Simultaneous determination of eight major bioactive compounds in Dachengqi Tang (DT) by High-Performance Liquid Chromatography. Chin Med 3: 5.
- Tao, Y., X. Zhou, W. Li and B. Cai (2019). Simultaneous quantitation of five bioactive ingredients in raw and processed *Fallopia multiflora* by employing UHPLC-Q-TOF-MS. J Chromatogr Sci 57(7): 618-624.
- Wang, G. Y., F. F. Chen and Y. P. Shi (2011). Ultra-Performance LC-photodiode Array-Elambda-ESI-MS/MS screening method for the detection of radical-scavenging natural antioxidants from *Radix et Rhizoma Rhei*. J Sep Sci 34(3): 268-277.
- Wang, J., H. Li, C. Jin, Y. Qu and X. Xiao (2008). Development and validation of a UPLC method for quality control of rhubarb-based medicine: Fast simultaneous determination of five anthraquinone derivatives. J Pharm Biomed Anal 47(4-5): 765-770.
- Wu, T. Y., F. R. Chang, J. R. Liou, I. W. Lo, T. C. Chung, L. Y. Lee, C. C. Chi, Y. C. Du, M. H. Wong, S. H. Juo, C. C. Lee and Y. C. Wu (2016). Rapid HPLC quantification approach for detection of active constituents in modern combinatorial formula, San-Huang-Xie-Xin-Tang (SHXXT). Front Pharmacol 7: 374.
- Xiao, J., C. Fang, J. Yang and D. Yang (2011). [Determination of sennoside A and sennoside B simultaneously in health food by HPLC]. Wei Sheng Yan Jiu 40(3): 355-357.

DATE November 6, 2020

PAGE 7 of 20 DATE November 6, 2020

PAGE 8 of 20

- Xiao, M. W., X. L. Bai, Y. M. Liu, L. Yang, Y. D. Hu and X. Liao (2018). Rapid quantification of aloin A and B in aloe plants and aloe-containing beverages, and pharmaceutical preparations by Microchip Capillary Electrophoresis with Laser Induced Fluorescence detection. J Sep Sci 41(19): 3772-3781.
- Xiao, X., W. Song, J. Wang and G. Li (2012). Microwave-assisted extraction performed in low temperature and in vacuo for the extraction of labile compounds in food samples. Anal Chim Acta 712: 85-93.
- Xu, F., Y. Liu, R. Song, H. Dong and Z. Zhang (2010). Constituents of Da-Cheng-Qi decoction and its parent herbal medicines determined by LC-MS/MS. Nat Prod Commun 5(5): 789-794.
- Xue, Y. and J. Liang (2014). Screening of bioactive compounds in Rhizoma Polygoni Cuspidati with hepatocyte membranes by HPLC and LC-MS. J Sep Sci 37(3): 250-256.
- Yamamoto, M., M. Ishikawa, T. Masui, H. Nakazawa and Y. Kabasawa (1985). Liquid chromatographic determination of barbaloin (aloin) in foods. J Assoc Off Anal Chem 68(3): 493-494.
- Yamasaki, K., M. Kawaguchi, T. Tagami, Y. Sawabe and S. Takatori (2010). Simple and rapid analysis of sennoside A and sennoside B contained in crude drugs and crude drug products by solid-phase extraction and High-Performance Liquid Chromatography. J Nat Med 64(2): 126-132.
- Yan, J., Y. Wang, H. Wu, Z. Sun, S. Tan, W. Wang, L. Gong, X. Xia and S. Li (2018). Development of a method for simultaneous determination of two stilbenes and four anthraquinones from *Polygonum cuspidatum* by RP-HPLC. J AOAC Int.
- Ye, M., J. Han, H. Chen, J. Zheng and D. Guo (2007). Analysis of phenolic compounds in rhubarbs using Liquid Chromatography coupled with Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrometry. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom 18(1): 82-91.
- Zonta, F., P. Bogoni, P. Masotti and G. Micali (1995). High-Performance Liquid Chromatographic profiles of aloe constituents and determination of aloin in beverages, with reference to the EEC regulation for flavouring substances. J Chromatogr A 718(1): 99-106.

Table 4. Analytical methods available for emodin, aloe-emodin and danthron in botanical preparations.

_{DATE} November 6, 2020

| No. | Scope: compounds included | Intended scope plant species | Analytical technique | LOD/LOQ | Working range | Extraction, clean-up | Valid. Y/N | Ref. |
|-----|---|---|--|--|---|---|---------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | Emodin, danthron, chrysophanol, physcion | Vegetables: peas, cabbage lettuce, beans; Herbs: grape vine leaves, couch grass root and plantain herb; Liquors | HPLC-PDA GC-MS LC-MS/MS | HPLC (mM/L): Emodin: -/1.38, chrysophanol & physcion: -/2.34 GC-MS LOQs (µmM/L): chrysophanol -/30, physcion -/4 | n.i. | Solid materials: freeze-dried, 10- 40 g extracted with MeCN in Soxhlet. Liquid materials: acidified with HCL to pH2 and extracted 3 times with EtOAc. All extracts evaporated, re-dissolved in MeCN/H2O, 80/20, injected in HPLC. For LC-MS extraction with acetone/pentane, 50/50, evaporated, re-dissolve in MeCN/H2O, 80/20, injected in LC- MS. | n.i. | (Mueller, Schmitt et al. 1999) |
| 2 | Aloe-emodin, emodin, rhein, chrysophanol, physcion, +kaempferol, +6 phenols | Root extracts from <i>Cassia alata</i> | HPLC-UV LC-APCI-MS (for identification) | ppm (µg/g) Aloe-emodin: 0.54/- emodin: 0.23/- | ppm (µg/g) Aloe-emodin: 3.06-145 emodin: 2.18-115 | 10 g extract with 100 mL EtOH for 12h, repeated 2 times, extracts combined, filtered, evaporated, re-dissolved in 10 mL EtOH, diluted 1:1 with H2O. Passed through SPE, eluted with hot EtOH filtered, injected. | Y | (Fernand, Dinh et al. 2008) |
| 3 | Aloe-emodin, emodin, rhein, naringin, hesperidin, magnolol, chrysophanol, honikiol | Dachengqi Tang common traditional Chinese medicine formula | HPLC-UV | μg/ml Aloe-emodin: 0.23/0.92 emodin: 0.28/0.83 | µg/ml Aloe-emodin: 1.83-146 emodin: 2.2-164 | DT granule sample (5 g) ground to fine powder. 100 mg dissolved in 50 ml of 65% (v/ v) aqueous MeOH, then extracted in an ultrasonic water bath (70°C) for 120 min, centrifuged 15 min at 3000 g), supernatant was filtered through a 0.45 μ m Millipore membrane filter and injected. | Y | (Tang, Wan et al. 2008) |
| 4 | Aloe-emodin, emodin, rhein, chrysophanol, physcion | Rhubarb-based medicines | UPLC-UV | μg/ml Aloe-emodin: 0.04/0.12 emodin: 0.06/0.2 | 0.4-40 μg/mL | Powdered rhubarb or its preparations (0.15 g) extracted with 25ml MeOH by refluxing for 60min, then filtered. 5 ml filtrate evaporated to dryness. 10 ml of 2M HCI and 20 ml of chloroform were added to dissolve the residue. Solution kept for 1 h on a water bath. The hydrolyzed solution was extracted with 10ml of chloroform 4 times and the combined extract was then evaporated to dryness. The residue transferred into a 50 ml volumetric flask and re-dissolved in MeOH, then filtered through a 0.22 µm filter, injected. | Y | (Wang, Li et al. 2008) |
| 5 | Emodin, hypericin, pseudohyperi cin, hyperforin, hyperoside, rutin, quercetin, quercitrin | Several hypericin- producing species of <i>Hypericum</i> Different organs such as leaves, stems and roots of wild- grown plants of <i>Hypericum</i> <i>hirsutum L.</i> , <i>Hypericum</i> <i>maculatum</i> <i>Crantz s. 1.</i> , <i>Hypericum</i> <i>montanum L.</i> , <i>Hypericum</i> <i>tetrapterum Fr.</i> collected in Slovakia and of <i>Hypericum</i> <i>perforatum L.</i> collected in India | LC-MS/MS | Emodin: 0.03/0.1 μg/g | 0.01-10 μg/mL | Briefly, the plants were cut into roots, stems and leaves, and were completely air-dried in the oven at 25°C. The dried plant materials were ground to dust under liquid nitrogen. Then, 5 g was extracted independently with 50 ml MeOH/CHCl ₃ (80/20) by ultra- sonication for 20 min, then filtered. The filtrate was used as the final organic extract. The residue was extracted with 50 ml H2O:MeOH (90:10) by ultra- sonication for 20 min using the same procedure. The sonicated solution was filtered again using Whatman filter paper under vacuum. The filtrate was used as the final aqueous extract. The final extracts were concentrated to 1 ml by rotary evaporation in vacuum at 30 C, and stored in the dark at 20 C till the commencement of the analyses by LC–MS/MS. | γ | (Kusari, Zuhlke et al. 2009) |

| No. em | Scope: compounds included | Intended scope plant species | Analytical technique | LOD/LOQ | Working range | Extraction, clean-up | Valid. Y/N | Ref. |
|-----------|---|---|---|--|---|--|--------------------|--|
| 6 f 20 | Aloe-emodin, emodin, rhein, chrysophanol physcion | Bark of <i>Rhamnus alpinus L.</i> (Rhamnaceae) | HPLC-UV | 5/10 μM | 10-200 µМ | 3 extraction methods compared: methanol maceration, ultrasonic and supercritical CO2 extraction. MeOH maceration, ultrasonic extraction: sample intake 5 g, extraction with 50 mL of MeOH, then hydrolysis by 6M HCl 30 mL. LLE with EtOAc 20 ml. SFE: 2.5 g of finely triturated bark were placed in a 10 mL disposable SFE extraction cell. The bark sample was held in dynamic extraction at 30°C and 80 MPa for 20 and 60 min at a CO2 flow-rate of 3 mL/min in dynamic mode. The effluent was collected in a sample vial and stored at -20°C until HPLC/UV-vis analysis. | Y (partia I) | (Genovese, Tammaro et al. 2010) |
| 7 | Emodin, aloe- emodin, rhein, chrysophanol, physcion, madagascin, 3- geranyloxyem | Rhamnus saxatilis Jacq. and R. alpinus L., R. pumila Turra | HPLC-UV | 0.3/0.5 μM | 0.5-125 μM equivalent to 0.127-50.78 μg/mL | 1 g + extraction with n-hexane (first step) and methanol (second step). Extraction procedure not described clear enough. | Y | (Locatelli, Genovese et al. 2012) |
| 8 | odin Aloe-emodin, emodin, physcion, emodin-8-β- d-glucoside, physcion-8-β- dglucoside, piceid, | Rhizoma Polygoni Cuspidati | HPLC-PAD LC-MS (used for confirmation) | HPLC (ng/mL): aloe-emodin: 18/45 (equiv. to 4.5/11 μg/g) emodin: 16.7/20 (equiv. to 4.2/5 μg/g) rhein: 33.3/100 (equiv. to 8/25 μg/g) | HPLC (ng/mL): aloe-emodin: 0.1-10 emodin: 0.09-9 rhein: 0.05-5 | 0.2 g extracted with 50 ml MeOH, sonicated for 45 min at RT, evaporated at 40°C, re-dissolved in 50 mL PBS, centrifuged, filtered via 0.2 µm, injected in HPLC. | Y (HPLC) | (Xue and Liang 2014) |
| 9 | > 300 analytes, including emodin | Cassava | LC-MS/MS | Emodin: 0.2/0.6 μg/kg | 28.6-143.2 μg/kg | 5 g + 20 mL of MeCN/H2O/HAc, (79/20/1), 90 min extraction, 500 μ L of extract diluted with 500 μ L of dilution solvent – MeCN/H2O/HAc, (20/79/1), injected. | Y | (Sulyok, Beed et al. 2015) Modified method of Sulyok et al. 2006 |
| 10 | Emodin, aloe-emodin, rhein, chrysophanol, physcion | Rhubarb (Rheum palmatum and Rheum officinale) | UPC-SFC- PDA | Emodin & aloe-emodin: 0.42/1.34 µg/mL | Emodin & aloe-emodin: 128-1.6 µg/mL | 300 mg of each specimen extracted 5-times with 5 ml MeOH/H2O, 85/15 by sonication for 10 min each. After each repetition the sample was centrifuged (4000 RPM, 10 min), and the supernatants were combined in a 25 ml volumetric flask. The flask was filled to volume, and prior to SFC analysis the solution was filtered through a 0.45 µm membrane filter. | Y | (Aichner and Ganzera 2015) |
| 11 | Aloe-emodin, rhein, sennoside A, baicalin, berberine, coptisine, palmatine, resveratrolosi de, wogonin | an-Huang-Xie- Xin-Tang (SHXXT): comprised by three herbal medicines, the <i>rhizome of Rheum</i> <i>officinale</i> [or <i>Rheumtanguticum</i> (Polygonaceae) (<i>Dahuangin</i> <i>Chinese</i>)], the root of <i>Scutellaria</i> <i>baicalensis</i> (<i>Labiatae</i>) (<i>Huangqinin</i> <i>Chinese</i>), and the <i>rhizome</i> of | HPLC-UV LC-MS (used for confirmation) | μg/mL Aloe-emodin: 0.01/0.03 rhein: 0.01/0.02 | μg/mL Aloe-emodin: 0.075-1.5 rhein: 0.1-1.6 | 5 mg SHXXT-3, dissolved in different MeOH/H2O solutions (5, 50 and 100%) to obtain 0.50 mg/mL solutions. The test solutions were ultrasonicated for 30 min and the individual test solution was filtered over 0.22-μm Millipore filter (Nylon membrane filter), three samples were Prepared (10 μL aliquot) for further HPLC analysis (n = 3). | Y (HPLC) | (Wu, Chang et al. 2016) |

| No. | Scope: compounds included | Intended scope plant species | Analytical technique | LOD/LOQ | Working range | Extraction, clean-up | Valid. Y/N | Ref. |
|-----|---|--|--|--|---|--|---------------|---|
| | Included | Coptischinensis (Ranunculaceae) (Huanglianin Chinese) in the ratios of 2:1:1 or 1:1:1 | | | | | PAG 11 | ε of 20 |
| 12 | Aloe-emodin aloin A/B | Aloe Vera raw materials and finished products | HPLC-UV | 10/20 ppb (ng/g) | 10-500 ppb (ng/g) | Sample intake 0.1 g dry or 1 g of liquid sample. Sample matrix (either liquid or solid form) using stepwise liquid-liquid extraction (water-ethyl acetate-methanol), followed by solvent evaporation and reconstitution. | Y | (Kline, Ritruthai et al. 2017) |
| 13 | Emodin, aloe-emodin, chrysophanol, physcion | Rhubarb and its preparations | GC-MS | Emodin: 10/- ng/mL (equiv. to 2 µg/g/-) Aloe-emodin: 20/- ng/mL (equiv. to 4 µg/g/-) | 3.2-30 μg/mL | 300 mg of dried rhubarb or 500 mg of <i>Ruyi Jinhuang</i> + 10 mL EtOAc, filter, 1 mL is sonicated at room T, 15 min, diluted 10 -fold with EtOAc, filter over 0.45 μ m filter, inject. | Y | (Dai, Chen et al. 2018) |
| 14 | Emodin, allic acid, catechins, epicatechin, polydatin, 2,3,5,4'tetra hydroxystilbe ne-2-O-β-D- glucoside, resveratrol, physcion | Radix polygoni multiflori | UPLC-UV | 0.12/0.32 μg/mL (equiv. to 4.8/12.8 μg/g) | Emodin μg/mL: 0.08-100 | MMSPD micro-extraction: 25 mg sample + 25 mg adsorbent, 2 min grinding with disorganised silica and 1 mL 150 mM 1-dodecyl-3- methylimidazolium bromide. Then extract solution was centrifuged at 14000 rpm for 10 min and filtered through a 0.45 µm membrane. Two microlitre of the supernatant solution was injected into the UHPLC for analysis. | Y | (Du, Chen et al. 2018) |
| 15 | ~295 fungal and bacterial secondary metabolites, including emodin | Dried figs | LC-MS/MS | 0.1/0.4 µg/kg | 2.3-55 µg/kg | Frozen, dried, and cut figs were ground and homogenised, then 5 g + 20 mL of MeCN/H2O/HAc (79/20/1), 90 min extraction, 500 μ L of extract diluted with 500 μ L of dilution solvent MeCN/H2O/HAc (20/79/1), injected. | Y | (Petric, Sarkanj et al. 2018) Modified method of Malachova et al 2014 |
| 16 | Aloe-emodin, emodin, rhein, chrysophanol, physcion L-carnitine, nuciferine, | Slimming foods and herbal products | LC-MS/MS | μg/L (equiv. to μg/g) Aloe-emodin: 0.28/1.2 Emodin: 0.19/0.63 Chrysophanol : 7.5/25 Physcion: 10/33 Rhein: 0.83/2.5 | μg/L (equiv. to μg/g) Aloe-emodin: 1.25-250 Emodin: 1.25-250 Chrysophanol : 25-2500 Physcion: 40-2000 Rhein: 2.5-250 | 100 mg extracted with 10 mL MeOH/H2O (50/50), ultrasonification 30 min, centrifugation at 14000 rmp, 5 min, 10-fold dilution with mobile phase, injection. | Y | (Shi, Zhong et al. 2018) Most simple and reliable method! |
| 17 | Emodin, physcion, rhein, anthraglycosi de B, polydatin, resveratrol | Polygonum cuspidatum Sieb. et Zucc. (named Huzhang in china) is a traditional and popular chinese medicinal herb | HPLC-UV | μg/mL Emodin: 0.09/0.24 (equiv. to 9/24 μg/g) Rhein: 0.14/0.44 (equiv. to 14/44 μg/g) | 13.32- 133.19 μg/mL | The materials of <i>P. cuspidatum</i> were dried and powdered to obtain 60-mesh size by grinder. Powders (0.5 g) were extracted by ultrasonication with 50 mL MeOH for 30 min, and the supernatant solutions were filtered through 0.45 µm micropore membrane prior to HPLC analysis. | Y | (Yan, Wang et al. 2018) |
| 18 | Emodin, hypericin, pseudohyperi cin, hyperforin, rutin, hyperoside, quercetin | Different organs of 17 in vitro cultured Hypericum species, along with H. tomentosum and H. tetrapterum hairy root cultures, and hairy root-derived transgenic plants of | HPLC-DAD LC-MS (LTQ orbitrap) | Emodin: 2/6 ng/ml | 20-10000 ng/ml | Species were cut into roots, stems and leaves and powdered to dust in liquid nitrogen. Extraction with 50 ml MeOH/CHCl3 (80/20) by ultrasonication in chilled conditions (\leq 4 °C) using a Branson B-12 apparatus operating at 20 kHz and 60 W for 20 min. The acquired solution was filtered, and the filtrate was used as the final organic extract. The residue was extracted with 50 ml H2O/MeOH (90/10) by | n.i. | (Nigutova, Kusari et al. 2019) |

| No. | Scope: compounds included | Intended scope plant species | Analytical technique | LOD/LOQ | Working range | Extraction, clean-up | Valid. Y/N | Ref. |
|-----|--|---|-------------------------|---|------------------------------|---|---------------|--|
| 0 | | H. tomentosum | | | | ultrasonication using the same procedure. The sonicated solution was filtered again using Whatman filter paper, lyophilized, and was then extracted with 50 ml methanol, filtered, and the filtrate was used as the final aqueous extract. The final organic and aqueous extracts were concentrated to dryness by rotary evaporation in vacuum at 30°C and stored in the dark at -20°C. Each extract was re-dissolved in 1 ml methanol for LC-MS analysis. | | |
| 19 | 51 analytes: flavonoids, anthraquinon es, esters, fatty acids, phenols, catechins. Including: Emodin-8-O- β-D-glucoside | Tetrastigma hemsleyanum Diels et Gilg (T. hemsleyanums) | UPLC-Q- Exactive/MS | 0.45/2.73 pg on column (=0.15/0.9 μg/mL) | 1.8-116 μg/mL | The dried rhizome parts of T . hemsleyanums were ground to powder. For each sample, 15 g of rhizome powder was extracted with 75% ethanol reflux for 3 times, 1 h each time. The ethanol extracts were concentrated under reduced pressure evaporated to dryness and then dissolved with 50% acetonitrile of 25.0mL as the stock sample solution. 1.0 mL of the above stock sample solution, adding baicalein with the final concentration of 4.781 µg/mL as the internal standard (IS), was filtered through a 0.22 μ m syringe filter to obtain sample solution for qualitative and quantitative analysis. | Y | (Ding, Liu et al. 2019) |
| 20 | Emodin, emodin-8-O- β -D-gluco- pyranoside- 2,3,5,4'- tetrahydroxy- stilbene- 2-O- β -d-glucoside, w-hydroxy- emodin, kaempferol | Raw and processed <i>F. multiflora</i> | UPLC-Q-TOF- MS | 0.02/0.06 µg/mL | Emodin: 0.06-800 μg/mL | The raw and processed <i>F.</i> <i>multiflora</i> was crushed into fine powder and passed through a 60 meshes sieve. About 1.0 g powdered F. multiflora samples were immersed into methanol- water solvent in a flask and then ultrasonicated in a water bath at room temperature for an appropriate period. The sample solutions were centrifuged at 13,400 rpm for 10 min. The supernatant was kept at 4°C pending UHPLC-Q-TOF-MS analysis. | Ŷ | (Tao, Zhou e al. 2019) |
| 21 | >800 metabolites including emodin | Pig feed samples | LC-MS/MS | 0.1/0.4 μg/kg | 2.3-55 μg/kg | Sample intake 0.5 g. The samples were placed at darkness to avoid analyte degradation and stored overnight at room temperature to allow the evaporation of the solvent and to establish equilibration between analytes and matrix. After this period, 2 mL of extraction solvent MeCN/H2O/HAc (79:20:1, v/v/v) was added. The samples were extracted for 90 min using rotary shaker and subsequently centrifuged for 2 min at 3000 rpm The extracts were transferred into glass vials using Pasteur pipettes, and 350 µL aliquots were diluted with the same volume of dilution solvent MeCN/H2O/HAc (20:79:1, v/v/v). After appropriate mixing, 5 µL of the diluted extract was injected into the LC-MS/MS system without further pre-treatment. It should be noted that the whole procedure was miniaturized only for validation purposes to decrease the amount of standards needed for spiking. In routine analysis, 5 g of sample is extracted with 20 mL of extraction solvent. | Y | (Khoshal, Novak et al. 2019) Modified mehod of Malachova ei al. 2014 |

| No. | Scope: compounds included | Intended scope plant species | Analytical technique | LOD/LOQ | Working range | Extraction, clean-up | Valid. Y/N | Ref. |
|-----|---|--|-------------------------|--|--|---|---------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 22 | Emodin, physcion, endocrocin, dermolutein, hypericin, skyrin | Complex crude extracts of <i>Chilean</i> <i>dermocyboid</i> <i>Cortinarii</i> | HPTLC-DESI- HRMS | n.i. | n.i. | Air dried sample 2 g + 15 mL of acetone in a blender followed by an ultrasonic extraction for 15 min to remove interfering compounds such as fatty acids from the material. After vacuum- supported filtration, the fungal material residue was further extracted twice with 15 mL MeOH each. The resulting extracts were filtrated and dried under reduced pressure using a rotary evaporator. The crude methanolic extracts were re-dissolved in MeOH and directly spotted on the HPTLC plate for chromatographic separation. | n.i. _{PAG} 13 | (Laub, Sendatzki et Gl?2020) |
| 23 | 32 analytes including Aloe-emodin, Emodin, Rhein, Chrysophanol Sennoside A/B | Sanhuang Tablet (SHT), that contains extracts of <i>Scutellariae Radix</i> and <i>Rhei Radix et</i> <i>Rhizoma</i> , as well as the powder of <i>Rhei Radix et</i> <i>Rhizoma</i> | UHPLC-Q- TOF-MS | ng/mL Aloe-emodin: 0.45/1.51 (equiv. to 0.28/0.90 µg/g) Emodin: 0.62/2.08 (equiv. to 0.38/1.24 µg/g) | ng/mL Aloe-emodin: 43-5505 Emodin: 93.9-3005 | 10 ground tablets, sieved and 500 mg extracted 3 times with 10 mL of EtOH/H20 (70/30) in ultrasonic bath for 30 min. Due to differences in content of tablets extracts were diluted 10×, 250× and 400× before analysis. | Y | (Fung, Lang et al. 2017) |
| | | | | Rhein: 1.24/4.12 (equiv. to 0.76/2.5 µg/g) | Rhein: 19.41-2485 | | | |
| | | | | Chrysophanol 2.53/8.44 (equiv. to 1.5/5.1 µg/g) | Chrysophanol 42.66-5460 | | | |

DATE November 6, 2020

Table 5. Analytical methods available for aloin A and aloin B as well as total HAD content in botanical preparations of *Aloe* species.

| No. | Scope: compounds included | Intended scope plant species | Individual compounds or sum | Analytical technique | LOD/ LOQ | Working range | Extraction, clean-up | Valid. Y/N | Ref. |
|-----|---|--|---|--|---|--|---|---------------|--|
| 1 | Aloin | food | Sum of aloin A/B | Column chromatogr aphy with UV | n.i. | 0.05-50 mg/g | Sample extracted with water from foods containing aloe and the extract is cleaned on disposable cartridge by using methanol-water (55+45). | N (?) | (Yamamoto, Ishikawa et al. 1985) Full text is not accessible |
| 2 | Aloin A/B, aloeresin, hydroxyaloin, aloinoside A/B | Beverages | Aloin A/B, aloeresin, hydroxyaloin, aloinoside A/B | HPLC-UV | 0.15 ppm (=µg/g) | n.i. | Powdered aloe 1 g mixed with 100 mL of H2O or with H2O- alcohol or absolute EtOH. Centrifuged and diluted 1:4, then filtered via 0.45 µm filter and injected. | N | (Zonta, Bogoni et al. 1995) |
| 3 | Aloin A Aloe-emodin | aloe based commercial products (liquids, gels and solids) | Aloin A Aloe-emodin | GC-MS | Aloin A: 0.05/0.1 ppm (=µg/g) Aloe- emodin: 0.005/ 0.01 ppm (=µg/g) | Aloin A: 0.1-20 ppm (=µg/g) Aloe- emodin: 0.01-2 ppm (=µg/g) | 1 ml of liquid product or 0.5g of gel or 0.25 g of solid product. Add 0.5 ml alcohol, 1 ml saturated NaCl sol., 2 ml of EtOAc/MeOH, 9/1, vortex for 30 s, centrifuge, organic layer re-extracted with 1 ml of solvent, combine extracts, evaporate, re-dissolve in 0.5 ml of EtOAc/MeOH, 9/1, filter, inject. | Y | (ElSohly, Gul et al. 2004) |
| 4 | Aloin A/B | aloe-based products | Aloin A/B | TLC/HPLC- UV | 2/- µg/spot | 0.5-20 mg/spot | A 1 g portion was accurately weighed and extracted twice with a 5 mL ethanol-water solution (90 + 10, v/v) at room temperature for 5 min with continuous mixing on a shaker. Each extract was filtered through Whatman No. 1 filter paper. Each extract was evaporated under reduced pressure on a rotary evaporator All extracts were stored at -5° C before using. Dried extracts were dissolved in 10 mL of an ethanol-water (90 + 10) mixture. A 1:50 dilution with a solution of 0.1% sodium metabisulfite in water was made before applying to a TLC plate or injecting into the column. | Y | (Ramirez Duron, Ceniceros Almaguer et al. 2008) |
| 5 | Aloin A/B, 5-hydroxy- aloin, 7-hydroxy- aloin A/B | Extracts of Aloe plants <i>A. vera</i> and <i>A.</i> <i>ferox</i> | Aloin A/B, 5-hydroxy- aloin, 7-hydroxy- aloin A/B | Nano-LC- UV-MS | Aloin A/B: 0.4/1.5 μg/ml | 1-50 μg/ml | Dried extract, yellow/orange powder, was dissolved in methanol (5 mg/mL), mixed for 10 min in an ultrasonic bath, and centrifuged for 10 min at 2500 rpm. The supernatants of <i>A. vera</i> and ferox extracts were diluted at 1/40 and 1/10 ratio, respectively, in 0.02% TFA, 85:15 H2O/ACN v/v and directly injected into the nano-LC system. | Y | (Fanali, Aturki et al. 2010) |
| 6 | Aloin A, vitamin C, β-carotene, astaxanthin | Aloe vera different foods: peppers, guava, shrimps, carrot | Aloin A | HPLC-UV with microwave- assisted extraction (MAE) | 0.54 mg/L | 2.0–20 mg/L | 5.0 g sample and 100 mL extraction solvent (EtOH) were introduced into the extraction tank, then a magnetic agitation, a condenser and a vacuum pump were equipped. Liquid samples were taken out and the analyte concentration was then measured by HPLC. | Y | (Xiao, Song et al. 2012) |
| 7 | Aloin A/B | Aloe vera raw materials and finished products | Aloin A/B | HPLC-UV | Aloin A: 0.092/ 0.23 μg/mL Aloin B: 0.087/ 0.21 μg/mL | 0.3–50 μg/mL | An extraction procedure using sonication with an acidified solvent (MeOH/HAc 0.1%) was used for solid test materials while liquid test materials only required dilution, if necessary, prior to filtration and analysis. | У | (Brown, Yu et al. 2014) |

| No. | Scope: compounds included | Intended scope plant species | Individual compounds or sum | Analytical technique | LOD/ LOQ | Working range | Extraction, clean-up | Valid. Y/N | Ref. |
|-----|---|--|--|--|--|---|---|---------------|--|
| | Total HADs | Anthranoid containing herbal drug preparations | Total HADs total hydroxyanthr acene glycosides | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA PA | Ecorthout 2014) Full text not available |
| 8 | Aloin, aloe-emodin, isoalliin, butylphthalide N-methyl- pelletierine, pelletierine, pelletierine, chlorogenic acid | Fresh plant samples: red onion, celery, pomegranate, crabapple, potted aloe, mint | Aloin, aloe-emodin | In vivo nanospray HRMS | n.i. | n.i. | No sample preparation | N | (Chang, Peng et al. 2015) |
| 9 | Aloin A/B, aloe-emodin | Aloe vera raw materials and finished products | Aloin A/B, aloe-emodin | HPLC-UV | 10/20 ppb (=ng/g) | 10-500 ppb (=ng/g) | Sample intake 0.1 g dry or 1 g of liquid sample. Sample matrix (either liquid or solid form) using stepwise liquid– liquid extraction (water–ethyl acetate–methanol), followed by solvent evaporation and reconstitution. | Y | (Kline, Ritruthai et al. 2017) |
| 10 | Aloin | Aloe-based formulations | Total aloin | HPLC-UV | 0.0053/ 0.0161 μg/ml | 5–500 μg/ml | 20 tablets + 75 ml MeOH, ultrasonication for 30 min, filtration, dilution up to 100 ml of MeOH. 1 ml diluted up to 50 ml of MeOH and then filtered via 0.45 μ m. injected in HPLC. | Y | (Moein, Hajimehdipoo r et al. 2017) |
| 11 | Aloin | Fresh and dry samples of <i>Aloe</i> <i>barbadensis</i> gel and latex | Aloin | HPLC-UV | n.i. | Latex, µg/mL: 162.5- 3551.8 Gel, µg/mL: 41.7- 2454.8 | About 5mg of dry latex and 10 mg of FL were dissolved in PBS (pH 3) to 5 mL. A total of 50 mg of dry gel, 2 g of FG and 100 mg of <i>A. barbadensis</i> capsules were all prepared by dissolving in PBS (pH 3) to 10 mL. The commercial aloe juice concentrate was injected directly without dilution. All samples were sonicated for 10 min and filtered through 0.45 μ m, then injected. | Y | (Sanchez- Machado, Lopez- Cervantes et al. 2017) |
| 12 | Aloin A/B | Aloe plants and aloe- containing beverages, and pharmaceutic al preparations | Aloin A/B | Microchip capillary electrophor esis coupled with laser induced fluorescenc e detection | Aloin A, ng/mL: 7.3/24.5 Aloin B, ng/mL: 7.5/24.9 | 25–500 ng/mL | For the pharmaceutical preparations, the sugar coatings of capsules were removed, and the residues were finely ground in an electric grinder; for the soft gels, the contents were dried at 80°C before powdered. For the aloe crude drugs, they were all ground into fine powder. For the aloe plants, the fresh leaves were dried at 80°C overnight and well pulverized. For each sample, a total of 10 mg of finely ground powder was added into 10 mL of methanol/water (20:80, % v/v) solution, and then ultrasonically treated for 10 × 3 min at room temperature. Followed by 12,000 × g centrifugation, the supernatant was then filtered through a 0.22 μ m membrane filter before use. For aloe gel-containing drinks, 1 mL aliquot was centrifuged, and supernatant was stored as samples. Each sample analysis was repeated five times. | Y | (Xiao, Bai et al. 2018) |

| _{DATE} No. | Scope: compounds included | Intended scope plant species | Individual compounds or sum | Analytical technique | LOD/ LOQ | Working range | Extraction, clean-up | Valid. Y/N | Ref. |
|---------------------|---|--|---|--|---|--------------------------|--|---------------|---------------------------------------|
| 13 16 of 20 | Aloin A/B, 7-hydroxy- aloin, aloinosides | Powdered plant material of <i>Aloe vera</i> , <i>Aloe ferox</i> | Aloin A/B + total anthranoid content | Band- selective quantitative heteronucle ar single quantum correlation spectroscop y (bsqHSQC) (NMR) | Aloin A/B: -/1.63 mg/mL Total antranoid s: -/0.815 mg/mL | 6.525– 52.2 mg/ mL | 10 g of <i>Aloe ferox</i> were suspended in water and heated for 10 min over a water bath. After cooling, the mixture was filtered through a Büchner funnel and extracted five times with 200 mL of EtOAc. Organic phases were combined, rewashed with water and the solvent was evaporated. This procedure was repeated another 4 times before the combined residues were dissolved in a mixture of CHCl3/MeOH (6/1) under heating, and the solution was kept at -20°C over the weekend. The mixture was subsequently filtered through a Büchner funnel, yielding 335 mg of aloin crystals. Purity of the crystals was assessed with NMR using duroquinone as internal standard and resulted to be 93.20%. | Y | (Girreser, Ugolini et al. 2019) |

Table 6. Analytical methods available for hydroxyantracene derivatives aswell as total HAD content in botanical preparations of *Rheum*, *Cassia*,*Rhamnus*.

DATE November 6, 2020

PAGE

| No. | Scope: compounds included | Intended scope plant species | Individual compounds or sum | Analytical techn. | LOD/ LOQ | Working range | Extraction, clean-up | Valid. Y/N | Ref. |
|-----|---|--|---|-------------------|--|---|--|---------------|-------------------------------|
| 1 | Sennoside A/B | Cassia angustifolia leaves and pods | Sennoside A/B | HPLC-UV | Sennoside A: 0.2/- µg/mL Sennoside B: 0.1/- µg/mL | 2–50 μg/mL | Leaves (1.0 g) were finely powdered and extracted with hexane (3*25 mL). The hexane extract was discarded and 25 mL of MeOH/H2O (70:30, v/v) was added to the mark, the suspension left overnight at room temperature (25°C) and then further extracted with the (70:30, v/v) mixture (3*25 mL). The extracts were bulked and made up to 100 mL with (70:30, v/v) was. An aliquot (1 mL) of extract was filtered through a sample filtration kit (PTFE; Waters, Milford, USA) and a 10 mL sample was subjected to HPLC analysis. The extraction of pods was performed exactly as described above for leaf samples. | Y | (Bala, Uniyal et al. 2001) |
| 2 | Sennoside A/B | Senna tablets | Sennoside A/B | HPLC-UV | n.i. | Sennoside A/B: 30–70 µg/ml | Tablets of a senna preparation from a pharmaceutical company were ground to fine powders. 5 mg of the powder were dissolved in 5 ml of sodium bicarbonate solution (1 g in 1000 ml of water). The mixture was sonicated to make sennosides A and B completely dissolve. After filtration through a 0.45 mm Nylon membrane (Whatman). | Y | (Sun and Su 2002) |
| 3 | Sennoside A, emodin, rhein, aeoniflorin, naringin, baicalin, baicalein, saikosaponin A | Chinese herbal formula Da- Chai-Hu-Tang | Sennoside A, emodin, rhein, aeoniflorin, naringin, baicalin, baicalein, saikosaponin A | HPLC-UV | n.i. | μg/mL Sennoside A: 5-20 Rhein: 25-100 Emodin: 30-120 | 0.4 g sample of Da-Chai-Hu- Tang was extracted with 50 mL 70% methanol under ultrasonication for 30 min followed by centrifugation. The extract solution was concentrated to dryness. After adding 1 mL internal standard solution, the herbal preparation extract diluted to 20 mL with 70% methanol. | Y | (Li, Chiu et al. 2006) |
| 4 | 107 phenolic compounds, including Sennosine A, rhein 8-O- glucoside, rhein 1-O- glucoside, rhein 1-O-(O- acetyl)- glucoside, emodin 1-O- glucoside, emodin 8-O- glucoside, Emodin 8-O- glucoside, Aloe-emodin 8-O-(6'-O- acetyl) glucoside, chrysophanol 8-O-(6'-O- galloyl)- glucoside | Several rhubarb species: <i>Rheum</i> <i>officinale,</i> <i>R. palmatum,</i> <i>R. tanguticum,</i> <i>R.franzenbach</i> <i>ii, R.</i> <i>hotaoense, R.</i> <i>emodi,</i> | 107 phenolic compounds, including Sennosine A, rhein 8-O- glucoside, rhein 1-O- glucoside, rhein 1-O-(O- acetyl)- glucoside, emodin 1-O- glucoside, emodin 8-O- glucoside, Emodin 8-O- glucoside, Aloe-emodin 8-O-(6'-O- acetyl)glucosid e, chrysophanol 8-O-(6'-O- galloyl)- glucoside | LC-DAD-MS | n.i. | n.i. | For LC/MS analysis, the samples were ground into fine powder (100–150 mesh). An aliquot of 0.25 g was weighed, and extracted with 10 ml of methanol in an ultrasonic water bath at 25 °C for 30 min. The solution was filtered through 0.2-µm membranes before use, and a 5-µl aliquot was injected. | Ŷ | (Ye, Han et al. 2007) |

| No. | Scope: compounds included | Intended scope plant species | Individual compounds or sum | Analytical techn. | LOD/ LOQ | Working range | Extraction, clean-up | Valid. Y/N | Ref. |
|-----|---|--|---|--|--|--|---|----------------------------------|---|
| 5 | Sennoside A, Sennoside B, | Crude drugs (Senna leaf, Senna pods, and rhubarb), crude drug products, Kampo formulations | Sennoside A, Sennoside B | HPLC-UV | Sennoside A/B: 0.01/- µg/ml equiv to 0.5/- µg/g in the sample | Sennoside A/B: 0.02-100 µg/mL | solution of methanol-0.2% sodium bicarbonate (7:3, v/v) and applied to the Oasis MAX cartridge. The cartridge was washed with a solution of methanol containing 1% acetic acid. SA and SB were eluted with methanol-water- formic acid (70:30:2, v/v), and the eluate was used as the sample solution for HPLC analysis. | Y | (Yamasaki, Kawaguchi et al. 2010) |
| 6 | 37 analytes, including Sennoside A/B, Aloe-emodin, Emodin, Rhein, Physcion-8- gucoside, Chrysophanol- 8-O-β-D- glucopyranosid e | Da-Cheng-Qi decoction, <i>Cortex</i> <i>Magnoliae</i> <i>officinalis,</i> <i>Fructus</i> <i>Aurantii</i> <i>Immaturus</i> | 37 analytes, including Sennoside A/B, Aloe-emodin, Emodin, Rhein, Physcion-8- gucoside, Chrysophanol- 8-O-β-D- glucopyranosid e | LC-MS/MS | n.i. | n.i. | 15-24 g boiled in 300 mL water until 50% of original volume left. This repeated 2 times. Extracts were combined, 6 g of Na-sulphate was added, mixed, filtered and diluted to 250 mL with H2O, filtered via 0.45 μm, injected. | N Scree ning metho d | (Xu, Liu et al. 2010) |
| 7 | Sennoside A, Sennoside B, | Food | Sennoside A, Sennoside B | HPLC-UV | µg/mL Sennoside A: 0.8/2.1 Sennoside B: 0.6/2.0 | B: 1.45 - 29 | Full extraction procedure not available. | Y | (Xiao, Fang et al. 2011) |
| 8 | Sennoside A, emodin-8-O- (60-Omalonyl) glucopyranosid e, physcion-8- O-b-D- glucopyranosid e, 1-O-galloyl-2- O- cinnamoylgluc ose, 6- hydroxymusizi n-8-O-b-D- glucopyranosid e, (1)- catechin, gallic acid 3-O- b-D- glucopyranosid e, trans- 3,5,40- trihydroxystilb ene-40-O-b-D- (200-O- galloyl)- glucopyranosid e, 4-(40- hydroxyphenyl)-2butanone- 40-O-b-D- (200-O- galloyl-600-O- p-coumaroyl) glucopyranosid e | Radix et Rhizoma Rhei | Sennoside A, emodin-8-O- (60-Omalonyl) glucopyranosid e, physcion-8- O-b-D- glucopyranosid e, 1-O-galloyl-2- O- cinnamoylgluc ose, 6- hydroxymusizi n-8-O-b-D- glucopyranosid e, (1)- catechin, gallic acid 3-O- b-D- glucopyranosid e, trans- 3,5,40- trihydroxystilb ene-40-O-b-D- (200-O- galloyl)- glucopyranosid e, 4-(40- hydroxyphenyl)-2butanone- 40-O-b-D- (200-O- galloyl-600-O- p-coumaroyl) glucopyranosid e | UPLC-DAD- MS/MS | n.i. | n.i. | Powdered product, 1g, extracted with 100 mL MeOH/H2O (60/40) in ultrasonic cell grinder, ten filtered, evaporated, re- dissolved in MeOH, filtered over 0.22 µm filter and injected. | N Scree ning metho d | (Wang, Chen et al. 2011) |
| 9 | Palmidin, emodin, rhein glucoside, chrysophanol, gallic acid, desoxyrhapont icin | Raw and processed Rhubarb | Palmidin, emodin, rhein glucoside, chrysophanol, gallic acid, desoxyrhapont icin | HPLC-UV LC-MS (used for identificatio n) | n.i. | n.i. | Ground powdered rhubarb samples passed through a 40- mesh sieve. 0.5 g was extracted ultrasonically with 25 mL MeOH for 30 min. The extract was centrifuged, filtered into a 25 mL flask, and diluted to the mark with MeOH. The solution was | N | (Ni, Song et al. 2012) |

| No. | Scope: compounds included | Intended scope plant species | Individual compounds or sum | Analytical techn. | LOD/ LOQ | Working range | Extraction, clean-up | Valid. Y/N | Ref. |
|-----|---|---|---|---------------------------------|---|--|---|---------------|---|
| | Included | species | or sum | | | | filtered through a 0.45 µm filter membrane. A filtrate aliquot (10 mL) was used for HPLC and HPLC-MS analysis. | PAGE 19 c | ¢ 20 |
| 10 | Frangulins A/B, glucofrangulins A/B | Bark of Frangula alnus | Frangulins A/B, glucofrangulins A/B | HPLC-UV & UPLC-UV | HPLC & UPLC: Frangulin A: 61.4/122. 9 μg/L (equiv. to 12.3/24.6 μg/g) Frangulin B: 77.7/155. 3 μg/L (equiv. to 15.5/31.0 μg/g) glucofran gulins A/B: n.i. | HPLC & UPLC: Frangulin A: 0.46-9.2 μg/mL Frangulin B: 0.475-9.5 μg/mL | 100 mg of the milled bark of <i>F. alnus</i> was weighed into a 50 mL Falcon tube. 20 mL of extraction solution (320 mL aqueous NaHCO3, 2 g/L, 680 mL MeCN) was added, and extraction performed by ultrasonication for 20 min at 35°C. Next, 30 mL of water, adjusted to pH 2.0, was added. After shaking well, about 3 mL of the extract was filtered through a 0.20 µm syringe filter into a HPLC vial. | Y | (Rosenthal, Wolfram et al. 2014) The only available reliable method |
| 11 | Sennoside A, Sennoside B, rhein-8-O- glucoside. | Sennae fructus, Sennae folium | Sennoside A, Sennoside B | HPLC-UV | n.i. | mg/mL Sennoside A: 13.4-214 Sennoside B: 12.8-204 | 0.125 g of the powdered herbal drug in a 50 mL volumetric flask. Add 45 mL of a mixture of 7 vol. of MeOH and 3 vol. of a 0.2 % m/V aq. solution of sodium hydrogen carbonate and extract in an ultrasonic bath for 30 min and fill up to the mark. Filter about 5 mL through a 0.45 µm membrane filter. Apply 2.0 mL of the solution to a 3 mL SPE cartridge (Oasis MAX 3 cc (60 mg) previously conditioned with 2 mL of MeOH and 2 mL of a 0.2 % aq. sol. of sodium hydrogen carbonate. Wash the cartridge with 2 mL of water and 2 mL of MeOH to remove neutral compounds. Wash with 5 mL of a 1 % (V/V) glacial acetic acid solution in MeOH to remove acidic compounds. Discard the washings. Elute with 2 mL of a mixture of MeOH/H2O/FA (70/30/2). | Y | (Rosenthal, Wolfram et al. 2014) |
| 12 | 64 analytes, including antraquinones: Palmidin, Sennoside A/B, Rhein, Emodin, Chrysophanol, Physcion | Herbal medicine Fufang. <i>Cortex Magnoliae, Fructus Aurantii Immaturus, Radix et Rhizoma Rhei</i> | 64 analytes, including antraquinones: Palmidin, Sennoside A/B, Rhein, Emodin, Chrysophanol, Physcion | UPLC-HRMS (LTQ- Orbitrap) | n.i. | n.i. | Pulverized samples of <i>Cortex</i> <i>Magnoliae</i> Officinalis (12 g) and <i>Fructus Aurantii</i> <i>Immaturus</i> (24 g) were extracted with 360 mL of boiling water for 30 min. After these samples were cooled and filtered, Radix et <i>Rhizoma</i> <i>Rhei</i> (12 g) was added, and the extract was boiled for 10 min. The aqueous extract was separated by filtration (100 mesh), and 9 g of <i>Mirabilitum</i> was dissolved to obtain co- decoction. The co-decoction was concentrated in an evaporating dish and dried under reduced pressure vacuum for 24 h at 50°C to obtain the powder. The dried powder was weighed (0.5 g), mixed with 5mL of 75% ethanol solution, and filtered through a 0.22µm membrane filter. | N | (Cao, Chen et al. 2015) |

| re No. | Scope: compounds included | Intended scope plant species | Individual compounds or sum | Analytical techn. | LOD/ LOQ | Working range | Extraction, clean-up | Valid. Y/N | Ref. |
|---------------|--|---|--|----------------------|--|--|--|-----------------------|---|
| GE 13 | Sennoside A/B | Laxative herbs, rhubarbs, <i>Cass ia angustifolia</i> <i>Vahl.</i> , Paidu Yangyan capsule | Sennoside A/B | UPLC-UV | μg/mL Sennoside A: 0.07/0.24 (equiv. to 8.8/30 μg/g) | µg/mL Sennoside A: 0.74-74 | 200 mg + 25 mL of 0.1% (v/v) NaHCO3 aq. sol., extract for 40 min in ultrasonic bath, filter via 0.22 μ m filter and inject. | Y | (Tan, Zhao et al. 2015) |
| | | | | | Sennoside B: 0.05/0.20 (equiv. to 6.25/25 µg/g) | Sennoside B: 0.46-46 | | | |
| 14 | 32 analytes including Sennoside A/B Aloe-emodin, Emodin, Rhein, Chrysophanol | Sanhuang Tablet (SHT), that contains extracts of <i>Scutellariae</i> <i>Radix</i> and <i>Rhei Radix et</i> <i>Rhizoma</i> , as well as the powder of <i>Rhei Radix et</i> <i>Rhizoma</i> | 32 analytes including Sennoside A/B Aloe-emodin, Emodin, Rhein, Chrysophanol | UHPLC-Q- TOF-MS | ng/mL Sennoside A: 1.4/4.7 (equiv. to 0.84/2.8 µg/g) Sennoside B: 1.3/4.3 (equiv. to | ng/mL Sennoside A: 40-2560 Sennoside B: 30.47- 3900 | 10 ground tablets, sieved and 500 mg extracted 3 times with 10 mL of EtOH/H20 (70/30) in ultrasonic bath for 30 min. Due to differences in content of tablets extracts were diluted $10\times$, $250\times$ and $400\times$ before analysis. | Y | (Fung, Lang et al. 2017) |
| 15 | Sennoside A/B | Extracts of senna leaves (Cassia angustifolia) | Sennoside A/B | HPLC-UV | 0.78/2.6 µg/g) µg/mL Sennoside A: 1/2 | µg/mL Sennoside A: 2-40 | Different extraction methods tested: cold percolation, reflux, SFE, UASE, MASE. Basic method in brief, 5 g dried powdered leaves | N Not clear | (Dhanani, Singh et al. 2017) |
| | | | | | Sennoside B: 2/3 | Sennoside B: 3-40 | refluxed with 100 mL of MeOH/H2O (80/20) for 5h at 60°C, then filtered, evaporated. | | |
| 16 | Sennoside A, Sennoside B | Senna extracts (<i>Cassia</i> genus species) | Sennoside A, Sennoside B | LC-MS | mg/mL Sennoside A: 0.7/2.31 Sennoside B 0.07/0.23 | 5-40 mg/mL | We adopted and modified the method developed by Ohshima et al. 200 mg of the powdered sample was weighed to the tube. An amount of 5 cm3 of 70% methanol was added and extraction took 20 min in an ultrasonic bath. After centrifugation (10 min at 5000 rpm), the liquid phase was removed, and 2 cm3 of 70% methanol were added to the solid phase and the extraction was repeated for 5 min in an ultrasonic bath. This step was once again repeated. The three obtained extracts were combined and made up to a total volume of 10 cm3 with 70% methanol in a volumetric flask. If needed, the solution was appropriately diluted by mobile phase before HPLC analysis. | N (?) Not clear | (Nesměrák, Kudláček et al. 2020) Modified method of Ohshima Y, Takahashi K (1983) J Chromatogr 258:292 |