

## Assessment MSc Internship Wageningen University

version of date 05-09-2022 (version 1.5)

This form will be phased out in 2022-2023: please shift to the new case process in OSIRIS (including grade registration) (from 15-11-22)

**NOTE:** Each assessor fills in a separate form. The forms need to be uploaded in OSIRIS.

Complete the green fields boxed with a single line. (use decimal point or comma, depending on the language setting of Excel)

Only provide marks for those criteria for which you have sufficient information to make an assessment

<b>Name chair group</b>		<b>Fill-in by supervisor chair group</b>
<b>Name student</b>		<b>Fee Percentage per chair group</b>
<b>Registration number</b>		select 100%
<b>MSc programme</b>		select 0%
<b>Specialisation</b>		select 0%
<b>Course code internship</b>		
<b>Size of internship (number of credits)</b>		
<b>Short title internship</b>		
<b>Which assessment (1st, 2nd or final; drop down)</b>	select	
<b>Date assessment</b>		
<b>Assessor that filled in the scores below</b>	<b>Name</b>	<b>Signature (you can copy your scanned signature)</b>
<b>Please select above which assessment (1st, 2nd, final)</b>		

### 1. Performance (50%)

- 1 Independence, initiative and creativity
- 2 Commitment, perseverance and adaptivity
- 3 Receiving and providing feedback
- 4 Development of knowledge and skills
- 5 Work on personal learning outcomes
- 6 Time management
- 7 Performance on research/project tasks
- 8 Transfer of (prior) acquired knowledge to the professional context of the internship
- 9 Execution of advanced work tasks in the projects
- 10 Execution of research / Quality of products

#### Grading Mark 1-10


→

	-
--	---

### 2. Context report / research report (40%)

- 1 Context, goals and delineation of research/project
- 2 Theoretical underpinning of goals and framework
- 3 Description and choice of methods and processing of information/data
- 4 Presentation of data and results
- 5 Evaluation of results
- 6 Clarity and justification of conclusions
- 7 Writing skills
- 8 Evaluation of relevance of the internship tasks


→

	-
--	---

### 3. Oral presentation (5%)

- 1 Level and structure of presentation
- 2 Interaction with audience


→

	-
--	---

### 4. Oral defence (5%)

- 1 Defence of the internship

--

→

	-
--	---

**SUBTOTAL**

-

### 5. Reflection report (pass/fail)

- 1 Reflection on activities in relation to personal learning outcomes and programme learning outcomes
- 2 Reflection on personal strengths and weaknesses in relation to professional ambitions

Pass/Fail
select
select

→

	-
--	---

**Total grade (unrounded)**

-

**Total grade (rounded)**

-

**Extensive comments by assessor on next page**

**Underpinning of grading (compulsory)****(Please use ALT+ENTER to open a new line; you can resize the cells below if you need more vertical space)**

The underpinning illustrates the specifics of the work of the student, in connection to the more general descriptions in the rubric. Describe, for each category (performance, report, presentation, defence) the aspects of the student's work that most clearly illustrate your assessment. As a guideline you could give examples for the criteria that you assessed lowest and highest, as well as a criterion that received an average assessment. If applicable, you should also mention aspects that are not mentioned in the rubric but you find relevant in your assessment, in particular points of excellence that make you deviate from the suggested scoring in the rubric.

Performance:

Context report/Research report:

Oral presentation:

Oral defence:

Reflection report:

**Feedback to student (not compulsory to fill in *here* )****(Please use ALT+ENTER to open a new line; you can resize the cells below if you need more vertical space)**

Provide feedback to the student that is not directly related to the grading (this feedback may also be given to the student by other means)