



Sesame traders and the ECX

An overview with focus transaction costs and risks



Dawit Alemu & Gerdien W. Meijerink
July 2010
VC4PPD Report #8



VC4PPD



Pictures: Wim Gorris, Herma Mulder and Francesco Cecchi



This series is a result of the Partnership Programme between the Netherlands' Ministry of Foreign Affairs Government and Wageningen UR.

The views expressed in this report are the authors' only and do not reflect the views of the Netherlands' Ministry of Foreign Affairs Government.

The Netherlands' Directorate-General for International Cooperation and Wageningen UR are implementing the Partnership Programme 'Globalisation and Sustainable Rural Development'. In the context of conflicting local, national and global interests and drivers of change processes, the programme aims, among other things, to generate options for the sustainable use of natural resources, pro-poor agro-supply chains and agro-biodiversity. Capacity strengthening and institutional development form cross-cutting issues in of the Partnership programme. The programme's activities contribute to improved rural livelihoods, poverty alleviation and economic development in countries in the south. Farmers and other small-scale entrepreneurs in the agricultural sector form the primary target group. The program has a strong -but not exclusive- focus on countries in Sub-Sahara Africa.

c/o Wageningen International

Table of Contents

| | | |
|-----|---|----|
| 1 | Introduction..... | 5 |
| 1.1 | Background..... | 5 |
| 1.2 | Objectives | 6 |
| 2 | Data and methodology | 7 |
| 2.1 | Sampling and sample size | 7 |
| 2.2 | Estimation of determinants of willingness to trade through the ECX..... | 7 |
| 3 | Results | 10 |
| 3.1 | Overview of sesame traders | 10 |
| 3.2 | Trading practices..... | 13 |
| 3.3 | the ECX and sesame trade | 15 |
| 3.4 | the ECX and transaction costs in sesame trade | 17 |
| 3.5 | the ECX and Marketing costs | 22 |
| 3.6 | Determinants of willingness to trade through the ECX | 24 |
| 4 | Conclusions | 26 |
| 5 | References..... | 27 |

| | | |
|-----------|---|----|
| Table 1: | the number of respondent traders by market centre | 7 |
| Table 2: | Description of hypothesized determinants of traders' willingness to trade through the ECX | 9 |
| Table 3: | distribution of respondents by trader type (% of respondents)..... | 10 |
| Table 4: | Distribution of traders by period of business establishment (% of respondents)..... | 10 |
| Table 5: | Average years of formal education of respondents by marker centre..... | 11 |
| Table 6: | Average number of local and foreign languages spoken by market centre..... | 11 |
| Table 7: | Resource ownership (% of respondents)..... | 12 |
| Table 8: | Average Initial and current working capital by trader type (in millions) | 13 |
| Table 9: | Major purchase market centre by trader type (% of respondents) | 14 |
| Table 10: | Average number of purchase and sale market centers by trader type..... | 14 |
| Table 11: | Average number of contacts in the first purchase and sale market center by trader type | 15 |
| Table 12: | Seasonality of sesame purchase by trader type (% of respondents)..... | 15 |
| Table 13: | Status of the ECX registered Sesame Members by membership and region as of January 27, 2010 | 16 |
| Table 14: | Trader's interest to trade through the ECX (% of respondents) | 17 |
| Table 15: | Use of intermediaries before and after the ECX in same trade by trader type | 18 |
| Table 16: | Use of buying agents before and after the ECX in same trade by trader type..... | 19 |
| Table 17: | Transaction cost in terms of number of employees involved and number of people consulted for market information | 20 |
| Table 18: | Means of verification about sesame quality (% of respondents)..... | 21 |
| Table 19: | Some indicators of transaction costs in sesame trade before and after the ECX..... | 21 |
| Table 20: | Estimated marketing costs before and after the ECX (per quintal of sesame) | 23 |
| Table 21: | Determinants of willingness to trade through the ECX (<i>Probit</i> Estimates)..... | 24 |

1 Introduction

Before the 90's, most African governments intervened in the agricultural markets mainly as a part of development policy framework. Even though the instruments of interventions varied across countries and also across the different agricultural commodities, the target was stabilizing producers' income mainly through marketing boards, which provided a single channel for exports and imports, state ownership of processing centres, and which administered domestic prices that were normally pan-seasonal, pan-territorial, and detached from international prices (Akiyama et al. 2001). However, several changes in terms of improvement in productivity in agriculture, transportation and communication, began to erode the efficiency of these intervention instruments forcing economists and policymakers to turn to market-based approaches. It is at this stage that the World Bank and other international organizations began a series of structural adjustment loans and credits conditional on certain policy reforms, which most African governments accepted.

The market reforms in Ethiopia—as in most other African countries—have resulted in improvement in performance of the agricultural markets in terms of significant re-engagement of the private sector in trade, improved market integration, and the reduction of marketing margins (Dadi, Negassa, and Franzel 1992; Lirensa 1993; Dercon 1995; Negassa and Jayne 1997; Dessalegn, Jayne, and Shaffer 1998; Gabre-Madhin 2001; Gabre-Madhin 2003). Nonetheless, these studies also pointed out that the reforms did not have the envisaged impact on agricultural growth and poverty reduction. This is mainly associated with the presence of prohibitively high transaction costs, evidenced by the lack of sufficient market coordination between buyers and sellers, the lack of market information, the lack of trust among market actors, the lack of contract enforcement, and the lack of grades and standards.

The persistence of these market constraints in Ethiopia points to the fact that market reforms alone, defined as the removal of policy distortions, are necessary but not sufficient to enhancing market performance. This suggests that the new development agenda, not only in Ethiopia but throughout post-reform Africa, is to move beyond market reform to market development. In addition to policy incentives, key interventions are required to develop appropriate incentives, market institutions and build needed infrastructure, defined together as the “3 I's of market development” (Gabre-Madhin and Goggin 2005).

It is with the intention of moving from market reform to an integrated market development that the government of Ethiopia started promoting the establishment of Ethiopian Commodity Exchange (the ECX) with the technical and financial support of international organizations like IFPRI, World Bank, UNDP and others. The Ethiopian Commodity Exchange was established in Ethiopia in 2006 and opened for major cereal crops, oil crops, pulses and coffee.

1.1 Background

Ethiopia is a landlocked country in the horn of Africa. Its economy is based on agriculture, accounting for 45% of GDP, and 85% of total employment. With a GDP per capita of 700 USD per year (PPP), it is one of the poorest countries in the world. Sesame is an important crop for Ethiopia in terms of economic development. Ethiopia is the third world exporter of sesame seed after India and Sudan. Oilseeds are the third important crop in acreage in Ethiopia after cereals

and pulses (Wijnands, Biersteker, and van Loo 2009). The major sesame growing areas are located in the Northwest; in Humera area in Tigray near the border with Sudan and Eritrea; in Metema in North Gondar and in Wollo area of Amhara region, Chanka area in Wollega of Oromiya, and in Pawi area in Benshangul Gumuz region.

The Ethiopia Commodity Exchange (the ECX), which was officially opened May 2008, is expected to play an important role as a platform for transparent and cost effective marketing (See (Alemu and Meijerink 2010). It opened for sesame trade in late 2009. The ECX does not include futures yet, it is basically a spot market, where on a trading floor transactions are made through open outcry bidding. The produce (e.g. sesame) is brought to various warehouses where it is sampled, weighed, graded and certified. The producers who deposit their produce at the warehouse, receive a warehouse receipt, which they keep until the produce has been sold at the ECX and they are paid.

After the ECX opened for coffee, and it was made mandatory for all export coffee to be sold through the ECX, there was much protest from exporters who were selling various specialty coffees to specific buyers. This led to the establishment of the " Direct Specialty Trade" facility (DST) in February 2010, whereby specialty coffee is offered for sale with a more extensive description (including e.g. origin) instead of the standard grades the ECX used for its other non-specialty coffee.

1.2 Objectives

This report documents the overall role of the ECX in addressing the major marketing constraints mainly related to market risks and transaction cost focusing on sesame traders. The report presents (i) the overview of sesame traders along with their trading practices and participation in the ECX, (ii) the estimated transaction costs before and after the ECX, (ii) the marketing cost comparisons, (iii) the determinants of trader's willingness to trade through the ECX along with the issues of sustainability of the current trade through the ECX.

After the introductory section, we explain the methodology used to gather and analyse the data used in this report. Section 3 presents the data and section 4 concludes.

2 Data and methodology

2.1 Sampling and sample size

For this study, market centres in the major sesame production areas i.e. Humera, Gonder/Metema, and Nekemte together with the terminal market Addis Ababa were selected. Except for Nekemte, the list of sesame traders was taken from the ECX branch office. For Nekemte, the list of traders was prepared by the enumerators by consulting Nekemte office of Trade and Industry. The sample sizes for each market centre were determined by the willingness of the traders to respond to the questionnaire. Accordingly, the number of respondent traders was as summarized in for each market centre with a total sample size of 194 traders.

Table 1: the number of respondent traders by market centre

| Market centre | Respondent traders by market centre | |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| | Number | % of respondents |
| Humera | 31 | 16 |
| Gende Wuha / Gonder | 58 | 30 |
| Addis Ababa | 78 | 40 |
| Nekemte | 27 | 14 |
| Total | 194 | 100 |

Source: Own survey, 2010

Note: Gende Wuha town is the central trade centre in Metema area

The data was collected using a pre-tested questionnaire using enumerators who have knowledge about sesame trade in respective market centers and also exposure to the ECX operations. The data were collected from February 2 to March 7, 2010 simultaneously in the four marker centres.

2.2 Estimation of determinants of willingness to trade through the ECX

In order to identify the factors affecting the willingness of traders to trade through the ECX, traders were categorized into willing (1), consisting of traders who are interested to trade through the ECX; and non-willing (0), consisting of traders who have no interest to trade sesame through the ECX. A probit model was then used for the analysis of determinants for traders to have interest (willing) to trade through the ECX. The probit model is one of the most widely used models where a discrete dependent variable is involved; the other equally widely used being the logit model (Aldrich and Nelson 1984; Amemiya 1981; Greene 2008). Both models give comparable results particularly when sample sizes are large. In this study, the probit model was chosen because it fitted to the data best. The probit model is specified as:

$$Z = \beta'X + \varepsilon, \varepsilon \sim N(0,1)$$
$$Y = 1 \text{ if } Z > 0 \text{ and } Y = 0 \text{ if } Z \leq 0$$

Where:

β' represents vector of parameters to be estimated
Z is observed probability to have interest to trade through the ECX (willing)
Y is estimated probability to have interest to trade through the ECX
X represents vector of independent variables listed
 ε is error term

For validation of the model, tests for multi-collinearity were performed using variance inflation factor (VIF) and Breusch-Pagan/Cook-Weisberg test for heteroskedasticity and adjustments were made accordingly.

Marginal effects, which measure the change in the probability to have interest to trade through the ECX due to a unit change in an explanatory variable, can be estimated either at the sample means of the data or at every observation and using the sample average of the individual marginal effects. In this study, the marginal effects were estimated using the sample means of the respective explanatory variables as:

$$\partial Y / \partial X_i = \Pr(Y = 1 | \bar{X}, \Delta X_i)$$

Where:

$\partial Y / \partial X_i$ is the marginal effect of explanatory variable x_i on the probability to have interest to trade through the ECX

\bar{X} represents the sample means of other explanatory variables

ΔX_i is the unit change of x_i

The hypothesized determinants of traders' willingness to trade through the ECX and their descriptions are summarized in Table 2. In general, the determinants are categorized into household socio-demographic factors, asset ownership, livelihood diversification/ specialization in agricultural activities, agricultural commercialization, access to and utilization of support services. Software packages of SPSS, STATA and MS/EXCEL were used to carry out the computations.

Table 2: Description of hypothesized determinants of traders' willingness to trade through the ECX

| Variable | Definition of the variable | Expected sign | Rationale |
|------------------------------------|---|--------------------|--|
| Willingness to trade | Traders' willingness to trade through the ECX (1= willing 0=non willing) | Dependent variable | |
| Demographics | Age of household head in years | + | Age is a proxy for experience, which can positively influence willingness |
| | Formal education in years | + | Education is a source of skill to undertake economic activity |
| | Number of language spoken (both local and foreign) | + | As the number of language spoken increases, traders ability for getting international experience increases |
| Resource ownership | Warehouse ownership (1=Yes, 0=No) | + | The higher resources, the better the ability to try new things |
| | Cleaning facility ownership (1=Yes, 0=No) | + | |
| | Truck ownership (1=Yes, 0=No) | + | |
| | Working capital (in Million ETB) | + | |
| Transaction cost related variables | Number of purchase markets | + | If the number of purchase markets is high, traders will be interested to trade through the ECX to reduce the transaction costs due involvement in many markets |
| | Number of buying agents the trader works with | + | If the number of buying agents is high, traders will be interested to trade through the ECX to reduce the transaction costs due involvement in many markets |
| | Time required to undertake a transaction (days) | + | If the number of days required to undertake a transaction is high, traders will be interested to trade through the ECX to reduce the transaction costs due involvement in many markets |
| | Perceived change on the time required for a transaction with the ECX (1=decreased 0=increased or remained the same) | + | If traders perceive that the time required is decreasing by trading through the ECX, then they will be interested |
| Regional difference | Dummy for regions (Tigray is a base) | ± | There will be regional difference in willingness due to the unaccounted variables listed above |

3 Results

3.1 Overview of sesame traders

The distribution of respondents by trader type shows significant differences among the market centres (Table 3). More exporters are found in Addis Ababa and Humera and wholesalers in Nekemte and Gende Wuha/Gonder. Similarly, there are larger number of retailers in Nekemte and Gende Wuha/Gonder, which reflects the existence of local market for local consumers in these areas.

Table 3: distribution of respondents by trader type (% of respondents)

| Market centre | Exporter | Wholesaler | Retailer | Assembler | Mixed activities | Chi square |
|---------------------|----------|------------|----------|-----------|------------------|------------|
| Humera | 26 | 6 | 3 | 52 | 13 | 208.44** |
| Gende Wuha / Gonder | 9 | 74 | 12 | | 5 | |
| Addis Ababa | 79 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 12 | |
| Nekemte | | 78 | 19 | 4 | | |
| Total | 39 | 37 | 7 | 9 | 8 | |

Source: Own survey, 2010

Note: *** indicates significance at 1% probability level

The distribution of the trader types by period of business establishment is statistically different. Most of the businesses were established in the first 10 years after market liberalization (since 1991) followed by the 2000s (Table 4). Retailers and to a lesser extent wholesalers, however, show a different pattern: the majority of retailers (86%) and wholesalers (56%) started their business in the past 10 years.

Table 4: Distribution of traders by period of business establishment (% of respondents)

| Period of Establishment | Exporter | Wholesaler | Retailer | Assembler | Mixed activities | Total | Chi square |
|--|----------|------------|----------|-----------|------------------|-------|------------|
| Before market liberalization (1991) | 14 | 10 | - | 17 | 19 | 12 | 34.47*** |
| In the first 10 years of market liberalization (1991 - 2000) | 60 | 34 | 14 | 61 | 69 | 48 | |
| In the 2nd 10 years of market liberalization (2000 - 2010) | 26 | 56 | 86 | 22 | 13 | 40 | |

Source: Own survey, 2010

Note: *** indicates significance at 1% probability level

The average years of formal education of respondent traders varies by market centre. As expected, in Addis Ababa where there are more sesame exporters, the formal education level is higher (about 12 years) followed by Humera (about 10 years). Traders in Gende Wuha/Gonder

seem to have the lowest level of formal education with about an average of four years of formal education (Table 5).

Table 5: Average years of formal education of respondents by market centre

| Market centre | Mean | Std |
|----------------------|-------------|------------|
| Addis Ababa | 12 | 3 |
| Humera | 10 | 4 |
| Nekemte | 8 | 4 |
| Gende Wuha / Gonder | 4 | 5 |
| Average of total | 8 | 5 |
| F-value | 23.21*** | |

Source: Own survey, 2010

Note: *** indicates significance at 1% probability level

The average number of local and foreign languages spoken by respondent traders varies in the different market centres (Table 6). The highest number of local languages (on average) is spoken by traders in Humera followed by traders in Nekemte and Addis Ababa with total average of 1.36 languages. Similarly, more traders in Humera speak foreign languages as compared to traders in the other market centres.

Table 6: Average number of local and foreign languages spoken by market centre

| Market centres | | Number of local languages spoken | Number of foreign languages spoken | Number of languages spoken |
|-----------------------|------|---|---|-----------------------------------|
| Humera | Mean | 1.87 | 1.23 | 3.10 |
| | Std | .34 | .50 | .60 |
| Gende Wuha / Gonder | Mean | 1.05 | .09 | 1.14 |
| | Std | .22 | .28 | .40 |
| Addis Ababa | Mean | 1.27 | .47 | 1.74 |
| | Std | .50 | .66 | 1.04 |
| Nekemte | Mean | 1.67 | .22 | 1.89 |
| | Std | .55 | .42 | .85 |
| Total | Mean | 1.36 | .44 | 1.80 |
| | Std | .51 | .64 | 1.02 |
| F-value | | 31.57*** | 35.11*** | 40.79*** |

Source: Own survey, 2010

Note: *** indicates significance at 1% probability level

The ownership of warehouses and cleaning facilities varies by market centre: more traders in Addis Ababa and Humera own warehouses and cleaning facilities (Table 7). The capacity of these resources also varies among market centres, where higher capacity warehouses and cleaning facilities are found in Addis Ababa followed by Humera.

Table 7: Resource ownership (% of respondents)

| Market Centre | Resource ownership (% of respondents) | | Average capacity of resources | | |
|---------------------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| | Warehouse | Cleaning facility | indicator | Capacity of warehouse in quintal | Capacity of cleaning facility (qts/day) |
| Humera | 84 | 35 | Mean | 27,617 | 970 |
| | | | Std | 39,899 | 659 |
| | | | N | 26 | 11 |
| Gende Wuha / Gonder | 52 | 9 | Mean | 14,258 | 1,238 |
| | | | Std | 26,854 | 1,201 |
| | | | N | 30 | 4 |
| Addis Ababa | 96 | 65 | Mean | 154,054 | 58,583 |
| | | | Std | 359,287 | 111,595 |
| | | | N | 74 | 50 |
| Nekemte | 78 | | Mean | 2,240 | |
| | | | Std | 2,518 | |
| | | | N | 21 | |
| Total | 84 | 35 | Mean | 83,396 | 45,304 |
| | | | Std | 260,976 | 100,656 |
| | | | N | 151 | 65 |
| Chi square | 38.38*** | 64.32*** | F-value | 1.95* | 0.79 |

Source: Own survey, 2010

Note: * indicates significance at 1% probability level

As expected, exporters have higher initial and working capital followed by traders with mixed activities and wholesalers. On average, a sesame trader had about 8 million ETB (or around € 467,000) initial capital and about 19 million ETB (or around € 1,110,000) working capital with about 65% from own funds (Table 8). Use of own funds is the lowest for the exporters compared to other types of traders.

Table 8: Average Initial and current working capital by trader type (in millions)

| Trade type | | initial start-up capital | Current working capital | Proportion of working capital from own funds |
|------------------|------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Exporter | Mean | 7.4 | 37.4 | 52.6 |
| | Std | 12.1 | 36.6 | 27.3 |
| | N | 63 | 70 | 63 |
| Wholesaler | Mean | 0.25 | 4.67 | 75.35 |
| | Std | 1.24 | 24.92 | 36.58 |
| | N | 69 | 68 | 62 |
| Retailer | Mean | 0.17 | 1.27 | 69.58 |
| | Std | 0.53 | 2.70 | 39.34 |
| | N | 14 | 14 | 12 |
| Assembler | Mean | 0.24 | 2.46 | 61.47 |
| | Std | 0.48 | 1.47 | 28.981 |
| | N | 17 | 16 | 17 |
| Mixed activities | Mean | 1.98 | 29.15 | 73.46 |
| | Std | 2.00 | 31.93 | 31.32 |
| | N | 16 | 16 | 13 |
| Total | Mean | 2.91 | 18.79 | 64.78 |
| | Std | 7.96 | 32.86 | 33.64 |
| | N | 179 | 184 | 167 |
| F-value | | 9.41*** | 14.36*** | 4.23*** |

Source: Own survey, 2010

Note: *** indicates significance at 1% probability level

3.2 Trading practices

The major purchase markets vary across the different types of traders. The majority of exporters, assemblers and traders with mixed trading activities use Humera as their major purchase market. A considerable proportion of wholesalers and retailers purchase sesame from other rural markets (Table 9).

Table 9: Major purchase market centre by trader type (% of respondents)

| Market centres | Exporter | Wholesaler | Retailer | Assembler | Mixed activities | Total | Chi square |
|----------------------|----------|------------|----------|-----------|------------------|-------|------------|
| Humera | 57 | 6 | 14 | 93 | 67 | 39 | 135.32*** |
| Gonder | 11 | 30 | - | - | 20 | 17 | |
| Tikur Wuha in Metema | 4 | 29 | 50 | - | 7 | 16 | |
| Addis Ababa | 20 | 4 | - | - | 7 | 10 | |
| Nazareth | 3 | - | - | - | - | 1 | |
| Nekemte | 5 | - | - | - | - | 2 | |
| Other markets | - | 30 | 36 | 7 | - | 14 | |

Source: Own survey, 2010

Note: *** indicates significance at 1% probability level

Even though the average number of sesame purchase markets varies across trader type, most of the traders operate in more than one market centre with the highest number for exporters (Table 10).

Table 10: Average number of purchase and sale market centres by trader type

| Trader type | | Number of markets where purchase is performed | Number of markets where sale is performed |
|------------------|------|---|---|
| Exporter | Mean | 1.95 | 1.28 |
| | Std. | .79 | .45 |
| Wholesaler | Mean | 1.34 | 1.10 |
| | Std. | .63 | .30 |
| Retailer | Mean | 1.21 | 1.00 |
| | Std. | .43 | .00 |
| Assembler | Mean | 1.22 | 1.11 |
| | Std. | .65 | .32 |
| Mixed activities | Mean | 1.31 | 1.06 |
| | Std. | .48 | .25 |
| Total | Mean | 1.55 | 1.16 |
| | Std. | .74 | .37 |
| F-value | | 10.59*** | 3.72*** |

Source: Own survey, 2010

Note: *** indicates significance at 1% probability level

The average number of contacts in the first purchase market centre per trader is about six people and it is not statistically different across the trader types. However, the average number of contacts in the first sales market is different across the trader type with the highest for assemblers with about 6 people followed by exporters with about 4 people (Table 11).

Table 11: Average number of contacts in the first purchase and sale market centre by trader type

| Trader type | | Number of trading contracts in first purchase market | Number of trading contracts in first selling market |
|------------------|------|--|---|
| Exporter | Mean | 7.00 | 4.13 |
| | Std. | 10.48 | 3.58 |
| Wholesaler | Mean | 4.38 | 2.63 |
| | Std. | 10.68 | 1.88 |
| Retailer | Mean | 4.44 | 3.88 |
| | Std. | 5.88 | 1.46 |
| Assembler | Mean | 10.50 | 5.87 |
| | Std. | 7.05 | 4.60 |
| Mixed activities | Mean | 9.00 | 3.00 |
| | Std. | 9.17 | 1.15 |
| Total | Mean | 5.90 | 3.53 |
| | Std. | 9.91 | 2.94 |
| F-value | | 1.19 | 4.28*** |

Source: Own survey, 2010

Note: *** indicates significance at 1% probability level

The sesame trade is seasonal and seems to be a four month activity for most of the traders, starting in November and ending in February following production season (Table 12). Of course, the exporters operate in a bit longer period mainly due to need for cleaning/grading along with bulking.

Table 12: Seasonality of sesame purchase by trader type (% of respondents)

| Month | Exporter | Wholesaler | Retailer | Assembler | Mixed activities | Total |
|-----------|----------|------------|----------|-----------|------------------|-------|
| January | 28 | 79 | 64 | 17 | 19 | 47 |
| February | 19 | 30 | - | 17 | 25 | 22 |
| March | 5 | 3 | - | 22 | 13 | 6 |
| April | 1 | - | 7 | 17 | 13 | 4 |
| May | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| June | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| July | 4 | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| August | 5 | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| September | 12 | - | - | - | - | 5 |
| October | 8 | - | 7 | - | 6 | 4 |
| November | 16 | 65 | 36 | 22 | 25 | 37 |
| December | 27 | 82 | 86 | 50 | 31 | 54 |

Source: Own survey, 2010

3.3 the ECX and sesame trade

The ECX was officially opened in May 2008, but sesame trade through the ECX did not start until early 2009. The delayed start was mainly due to the need of setting the standards that are linked

with origin and other common quality indicators, and the need to establish the required infrastructure in the main production areas that are far from the central market in Addis Ababa. Even after the start of sesame trade, traders' interest to trade through the ECX was very minimal. Cognizant of the limited interest of traders to trade through the ECX not only for sesame but also for other major export commodities, the government passed a law that makes trade through the ECX compulsory in early 2010. It is expected that the 2010/11 production season sesame, which will start entering the market in October, 2010 will be traded mainly through the ECX.

Currently, there are about 51 full ECX members who are registered for sesame trade along with other ECX traded commodities and all of them are exporters. The Akrabi's—traders that collect sesame from the production areas and supply to the central markets (to exporters)—have limited membership status¹. Five Akrabi's have limited trading membership and 21 Akrabi's have limited intermediary membership. There are also 44 exporters with limited membership status (Table 13). Most ECX members operate in Addis Ababa, Amhara (Gonder and Metema area) and in Tigray (Humera area).

Table 13: Status of the ECX registered Sesame Members by membership and region as of January 27, 2010

| the ECX Membership status | Trader type | Total number | Regional distribution | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--------------|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------|------|-----------|
| | | | Addis Ababa | Amhara | Tigray | Oromia | SNRP | Dire Dawa |
| Full members | Exporter | 51 | 43 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 |
| Limited Members | Akrabi Limited Trading Member (LTM) | 5 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Akrabi Limited Intermediary Member (LIM) | 21 | 1 | 13 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | Exporters | 44 | 40 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Total Sesame Members (Full & Limited) | | 121 | 85 | 15 | 11 | 7 | 1 | 2 |

Source: the ECX, Feb, 2010

The survey results indicate that the traders' interest to trade through the ECX varies by trader type (Table 14). About 27% of the sesame exporters and about 25% of wholesalers are interested to trade through the ECX mainly due to the estimated reduction in transaction cost. None of the retailers or traders with mixed trading activities are interested to trade through the ECX. Overall, 20% of the total surveyed traders are interested to trade through the ECX stating reasons linked to reducing transaction costs.

¹ See Alemu (2010) for a more detailed description of the ECX

Table 14: Trader's interest to trade through the ECX (% of respondents)

| Trader type | Interested due to the estimated benefit | Interested based on the information from others | Not interested |
|------------------|---|---|----------------|
| Exporter | 27 | 21 | 52 |
| Wholesaler | 25 | 7 | 68 |
| Retailer | - | - | 100 |
| Assembler | - | 50 | 50 |
| Mixed activities | - | - | 100 |
| Total | 20 | 15 | 65 |
| Chi square | 44.28*** | | |

Source: Own survey, 2010

Note: *** indicates significance at 1% probability level

3.4 the ECX and transaction costs in sesame trade

The literature on transaction costs present two broad categories of transactions costs, proportional and fixed transactions costs (Key, Sadoulet, and Janvry 2000). Proportional transactions costs change according to the volume sold or bought (e.g. price premiums deriving from bargaining capacity) as per unit transportation costs. Fixed transactions costs are independent of the quantities sold or bought. They include information, bargaining, and monitoring costs. Information costs occur before the exchange takes place and include aspects such as searching for attributes that could facilitate the transactions, seeking better prices, and looking for potential buyers. Bargaining or negotiation costs are incurred during the exchange and include the time to negotiate a contract, reach an agreement, and make arrangements for payment. The extent to which a person is able to minimize these costs is usually assumed to be a function of individual characteristics (education, skills, gender), product attributes like quality, and the relationship between agents participating in the transactions. Finally, monitoring costs are incurred to ensure that the conditions of an exchange are met (for example enforcing the payment schedule agreed upon or the specified quality of the product) (Stifel, Minten, and Dorosh 2003; Key, Sadoulet, and Janvry 2000).

We present the trends in transaction costs based on estimates of respondents for “before” and “after” the ECX became operational. The indicators for transaction costs used for comparison are (i) the average number of intermediaries each trader used (buying agents, brokers, and selling agents) along with the role of ethnicity and religion, (ii) average number of people consulted and involved to make a transaction per market day (number of people consulted in the main market and in other markets, number of employees involved to collect market information, (iii) methods/means of verification employed for sesame quality assurance, and (iv) time required per transaction (number of trips made to market centres, costs incurred in paying trips, time required to purchase and sale).

The average number of intermediaries traders used to undertake transaction has declined for all types of traders. All traders who have traded through the ECX have stopped using brokers and

selling agents which has reduced the number of buying agents with whom they have been working (Table 15).

Table 15: Use of intermediaries before and after the ECX in same trade by trader type

| Trade type | | Buying Agent | | Brokers | | Selling Agent | |
|------------|------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| | | Before the ECX | After the ECX | Before the ECX | After the ECX | Before the ECX | After the ECX |
| Exporter | Mean | 1.60 | 1.20 | 1.60 | 0 | 1.33 | 0 |
| | Std | 0.89 | 0.45 | 1.34 | - | 0.58 | - |
| | N | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 5 |
| Wholesaler | Mean | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 0 | 0.50 | 0 |
| | Std | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.82 | - | 0.71 | - |
| | N | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| Assembler | Mean | 2.00 | 1.67 | 1.33 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 |
| | Std | 1.00 | 0.58 | 1.53 | - | - | - |
| | N | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Total | Mean | 1.58 | 1.33 | 1.33 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 |
| | Std | 0.79 | 0.49 | 1.15 | - | 0.58 | - |
| | N | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 7 | 12 |
| F-value | | 0.51 | 0.44 | 0.78 | | 0.34 | |

Source: Own survey, 2010

The details of the use of buying agents for the different trader types shows that along with the reduction in the number of buying agents used to undertake transaction, the proportion of buying agents from similar ethnic groups and religions has declined for traders who are using the ECX. However, the proportion of buying agents with social linkage has remained more or less similar (Table 16).

Table 16: Use of buying agents before and after the ECX in same trade by trader type

| Trade type | | Number of buying agents | | Proportion of buying agents from the same Ethnic group | | Proportion of buying agents from the same religion | | Proportion of buying agents with Social linkage | |
|------------|------|-------------------------|-----------|--|-----------|--|-----------|---|-----------|
| | | Before ECX | After ECX | Before ECX | After ECX | Before ECX | After ECX | Before ECX | After ECX |
| Exporter | Mean | 1.60 | 1.20 | 0.90 | 0.60 | 0.90 | 0.60 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | Std | 0.89 | 0.45 | 0.22 | 0.55 | 0.22 | 0.55 | - | - |
| | N | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| Wholesaler | Mean | 1.25 | 1.25 | 0.75 | 0.67 | 0.83 | 0.75 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | Std | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.58 | 0.29 | 0.35 | - | . |
| | N | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 1.00 |
| Assembler | Mean | 2.00 | 1.67 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.50 |
| | Std | 1.00 | 0.58 | 0.29 | 0.29 | - | - | . | . |
| | N | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Total | Mean | 1.58 | 1.33 | 0.83 | 0.68 | 0.91 | 0.75 | 1.00 | 0.90 |
| | Std | 0.79 | 0.49 | 0.33 | 0.46 | 0.20 | 0.42 | - | 0.22 |
| | N | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 7 | 5 |
| F-value | | 0.51 | 0.44 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.65 | 0.49 | | |

Source: Own survey, 2010

The transaction costs in terms of the average number of people consulted to undertake a transaction along with the average number of employees involved in information collection has considerably declined following the ECX as compared to before the ECX (Table 17).

Table 17: Transaction cost in terms of number of employees involved and number of people consulted for market information

| Market Centre | | number of people consulted at main market per market day | | number of people consulted at other markets per market day | | Number of employees participating in information collection | |
|---------------------|------|--|-----------|--|-----------|---|-----------|
| | | Before ECX | After ECX | Before ECX | After ECX | Before ECX | After ECX |
| Humera | Mean | 3.33 | 2.00 | 4.50 | - | 2.50 | 2.00 |
| | Std. | 1.53 | . | 3.54 | - | 2.12 | . |
| | N | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | 2 | 1 |
| Gende Wuha / Gonder | Mean | 3.30 | 3.00 | 2.42 | - | 1.53 | - |
| | Std. | 2.67 | - | 2.63 | - | 1.99 | - |
| | N | 57 | 1 | 57 | 1 | 58 | 1 |
| Addis Ababa | Mean | 2.81 | 3.25 | 1.93 | 2.25 | 3.42 | 1.17 |
| | Std. | 2.11 | 1.26 | 1.82 | 0.50 | 6.36 | 0.41 |
| | N | 37 | 4 | 27 | 4 | 48 | 6 |
| Nekemte | Mean | 8.39 | 4.00 | 3.85 | 4.00 | 2.56 | - |
| | Std. | 20.03 | . | 3.66 | . | 1.19 | - |
| | N | 23 | 1 | 20 | 1 | 25 | - |
| Total | Mean | 4.13 | 3.14 | 2.60 | 2.17 | 2.42 | 1.13 |
| | Std. | 9.13 | 1.07 | 2.75 | 1.33 | 4.14 | 0.64 |
| | N | 120 | 7 | 106 | 6 | 133 | 8 |
| F-value | | 2.16* | 0.44 | 2.41* | 16.17** | 1.86 | 6.13** |

Source: Own survey, 2010

Traders use different mechanisms to verify the quality of purchased sesame, such as sample verification, direct observation, buying from people whom they trust, weighing each bag. After the ECX became operational, traders also use the ECX certificate of quality. A higher proportion of traders who has experience with the ECX now depends on the ECX quality certificate even though some still use the other methods (Table 18). For instance, of the 86% of traders who reported that they used to weigh each bag of sesame bought before the ECX, about 14% of traders still verify the accuracy by weighing each bag.

Table 18: Means of verification about sesame quality (% of respondents)

| Quality indicators | Means of verification | Before the ECX | After the ECX |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| Place of origin | Sample verification | 23 | - |
| | Direct observation | 62 | 15 |
| | Personal trust | 15 | - |
| | Use of the ECX issued certificates | - | 85 |
| Adulteration | Sample verification | 22 | 8 |
| | Direct observation | 77 | 15 |
| | Personal trust | 1 | 77 |
| Seed Color | Sample verification | 21 | 14 |
| | Direct observation | 79 | 7 |
| | Use of the ECX issued certificates | - | 79 |
| Seed Size | Sample verification | 19 | - |
| | Direct observation | 81 | 21 |
| | Use of the ECX issued certificates | | 79 |
| Quantity | Direct observation | 7 | 7 |
| | Personal trust | 7 | - |
| | Weighting each bag | 86 | 14 |
| | Use of the ECX issued certificates | - | 79 |

Source: Own survey, 2010

On average, the proportion of traders making trips to market centres and the number of trips made have both considerably declined after the ECX has become operational. Similarly, the time required to sell sesame has declined from about 42 days to about 16 days, on average. However, the time required to purchase sesame seems to remain the same (Table 19).

Table 19: Some indicators of transaction costs in sesame trade before and after the ECX

| Indicators | | Before the ECX | After the ECX |
|---|--------------|----------------|---------------|
| Proportion of traders paying trip to market centres | % of traders | 19 | 6 |
| number of trips made to market centres | Mean | 5.64 | 2.42 |
| | Std | 5.46 | 2.57 |
| | N | 36 | 12 |
| Total costs incurred in paying trips (in ETB) | Mean | 8,214.36 | 5,908.63 |
| | Std | 16,067.90 | 6,115.36 |
| | N | 39 | 8 |
| Purchase time (in days) | Mean | 14.02 | 14.43 |
| | Std | 19.90 | 22.41 |
| | N | 134 | 13 |
| Sale time (in days) | Mean | 42.36 | 16.46 |
| | Std | 59.19 | 23.31 |
| | N | 141 | 12 |

Source: Own survey, 2010

3.5 the ECX and Marketing costs

Table 20 presents the marketing cost breakdown based on the estimates of respondents before and after the ECX for the last transaction made converted into a quintal of sesame (100 kg). The costs that are incurred lump-sum per transaction were divided with the volume purchase. The estimate shows that the marketing costs have declined by about 57%.

Table 20: Estimated marketing costs before and after the ECX (per quintal of sesame)

| Marketing cost components | Before the ECX | | | After the ECX | | | Effect on marketing cost |
|---|----------------|----------|-----|---------------|--------|----|--------------------------|
| | Mean | Std | N | Mean | Std | N | |
| Cost of empty sacks | 6.29 | 5.23 | 174 | 19.00 | 20.16 | 15 | - |
| Bagging & sewing | 2.51 | 1.40 | 176 | 3.46 | 1.48 | 13 | - |
| Loading at purchase market | 3.76 | 6.94 | 183 | 1.42 | 0.57 | 13 | + |
| Payment to intermediary agent at purchase | 19.08 | 102.00 | 106 | 105.73 | 296.37 | 11 | - |
| Tips during purchase | 0.14 | 0.15 | 87 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 4 | + |
| Market levies | 7.76 | 22.33 | 103 | 17.50 | 24.75 | 2 | - |
| Transport cost from purchase to Intermediary market | 45.64 | 25.78 | 140 | 49.78 | 28.80 | 9 | - |
| Transport cost from intermediary to final market | 50.60 | 29.59 | 89 | 10.00 | 14.14 | 2 | + |
| Total payments at road stops (kellas) | 0.00 | 0.01 | 46 | - | . | 1 | + |
| Total bribes | 0.00 | 0.01 | 34 | - | . | 1 | + |
| Payment to transport broker | 0.11 | 0.14 | 81 | - | . | 1 | + |
| Off-loading at intermediate market | 3.65 | 3.29 | 79 | 0.67 | 0.58 | 3 | + |
| Loading at intermediate market | 3.75 | 4.04 | 70 | - | . | 1 | + |
| Off-loading at final scale at final sale market | 8.38 | 59.29 | 159 | 1.30 | 0.75 | 10 | + |
| storage costs per quintal per month | 7.93 | 41.56 | 92 | 3.46 | 1.33 | 13 | + |
| Telephone/radio costs | 0.39 | 0.60 | 157 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 2 | + |
| Payment to intermediary agent at sale | 334.09 | 1,529.54 | 77 | 4.80 | 8.67 | 5 | + |
| Tips during sale | 0.09 | 0.19 | 49 | - | - | 2 | + |
| Personal travel costs | 0.32 | 0.66 | 96 | 0.54 | 0.43 | 6 | - |
| municipality market levies | 12.00 | 98.47 | 103 | - | . | 1 | + |
| Total | 506.51 | | | 217.82 | | | 57% |

Source: Own survey, 2010

3.6 Determinants of willingness to trade through the ECX

The probit estimate of the determinants of traders' willingness to trade through the ECX is presented in Table 21. Along with the overall significance of the model, from the hypothesized 11 explanatory variables, five variables were found to significantly affect the trader's willingness of trade through the ECX. In terms of demographics, traders with higher formal education and higher number of languages spoken tend to be more willing to trade through the ECX. A unit-change in formal education and language spoken increase the probability of willingness of a trader on average by about 2% and 12%, respectively. In terms of resource ownership, traders with higher working capital tend to be more willing.

Table 21: Determinants of willingness to trade through the ECX (*Probit* Estimates)

| Variable | Definition of the variable | Coefficient | Robust Std Error | Marginal effects |
|------------------------------------|---|-------------|------------------|------------------|
| Demographics | Age of household head in years | -0.0145 | 0.0161 | -0.0043 |
| | Formal education in years | 0.0791** | 0.0398 | 0.0234 |
| | Number of language spoken (both local and foreign) | 0.3903*** | 0.1571 | 0.1157 |
| Resource ownership | Warehouse ownership (1=Yes, 0=No) | -0.5070 | 0.3964 | N.A. |
| | Cleaning facility ownership (1=Yes, 0=No) | 0.2749 | 0.3339 | 0.0848 |
| | Truck ownership (1=Yes, 0=No) | -0.3851 | 0.3297 | N.A. |
| | Working capital (in Million ETB) | 0.0091** | 0.0039 | 0.0027 |
| Transaction cost related variables | Number of purchase markets | 0.2454 | 0.2124 | 0.0728 |
| | Number of buying agents the trader works with | 0.1803*** | 0.0718 | 0.0535 |
| | Time required to undertake a transaction (days) | 0.0065 | 0.0077 | 0.0019 |
| | Perceived change on the time required for a transaction with the ECX (1=decreased 0=increased or remained the same) | 1.2862** | 0.5401 | N.A. |
| Regional difference | Amhara | -0.4916 | 0.6027 | N.A. |
| | Oromiya | 1.7785*** | 0.5154 | N.A. |
| | Addis Ababa | 0.4340 | 0.4252 | N.A. |
| Constant term | | -2.1186** | 1.0074 | |
| Number of observation =170 | | | | |
| LR chi2(14) =82.36*** | | | | |
| Pseudo R2 =0.39 | | | | |
| Log likelihood =-65.38 | | | | |

Note: *** and ** indicate significance at 1% and 5% probability levels

Marginal effects for probit estimates require more calculation and are not presented here.

Among the transaction cost related explanatory variables, the number of buying agents the trader works with and perceived change in time required for a transaction with the ECX were found to positively influence traders willingness to trade through the ECX. On average, a unit increase in the number of buying agents the trader deals with, increases the probability of the traders to be willing to trade through the ECX by about 5%. Similarly, the perception of a trader about the

reduction in the time required to undertake transaction due the ECX increased the probability of willingness

4 Conclusions

The comparison between the situation before and after the ECX indicates that transaction costs have declined in terms of (i) the average number of intermediaries each trader used (buying agents, brokers, and selling agents), (ii) average number of people consulted and involved to make a transaction per market day (number of people consulted in the main market and in other markets, number of employees involved to collect market information), (iii) methods/means of verification employed for sesame quality assurance, and (iv) time required per transaction (number of trips made to market centres, costs incurred in paying trips, time required to purchase and sale). Similarly, the marketing costs have show reduction after the ECX, which is estimated to decline by about 57% as compared to the situation before the start of the ECX. It is therefore surprising that only a small proportion of traders is willing to use the ECX.

The probit estimate of the determinants of traders' willingness to trade through the ECX shows that traders with higher formal education and higher number of languages spoken tend to be more willing to trade through the ECX. A unit-change in formal education and language spoken increase the probability of willingness of a trader on average by about 2% and 12%, respectively. In terms of resource ownership, traders with higher working capital tend to be more willing. Among transaction cost related factors, number of buying agents the trader works with and perceived change on the time required for a transaction with the ECX were found to positively influence traders' willingness to trade with the ECX. On average, a unit increase in the number of buying agents the trader deal with, increases the probability of the traders to be willing to trade through the ECX by about 5%. Similarly, the perception of a trader about the reduction in the time required to undertake transaction due the ECX increased the probability of willingness by about 47%.

Why so many traders are reluctant to trade through the ECX remains still somewhat unclear. It seems as if the more educated traders with more resources, and those who can reduce transaction costs by trading through the ECX, are willing to use the ECX. It could therefore be that it is a matter of time before other traders will change their view. However, one reason not captured by our survey is the greater likelihood of being taxed when selling through the ECX. Taxation is a sensitive issue.

Making the ECX mandatory could be a solution to the problem of perception traders have about the usefulness of the ECX. Experience with trading through the ECX could show the benefits of the ECX. However, making trade mandatory has other drawbacks, such as the difficulty of dealing with specialty, organic or fair trade, as described by Mheen-Sluijer (2010).

5 References

- Akiyama, Takamasa, John Baffes, Donald F. Larson, and Panos Varangis. 2001. *Commodity Market Reforms: lessons from two decades*. Regional and Sectoral Studies. Washington D.C.: The World Bank.
- Aldrich, John H., and Forrest D. Nelson. 1984. *Linear Probability, Logit and Probit Models*. London, UK: Sage Publications.
- Alemu, Dawit, and Gerdien W. Meijerink. 2010. *Role of the Ethiopian Commodity Exchange (ECX) in the Sesame Value chain in Ethiopia*. VC4PPD Report. Value Chains for Pro-Poor Development. Wageningen, The Netherlands: Wageningen University and Research Centre.
- Amemiya, Takeshi. 1981. Qualitative response models: a survey. *Journal of Econometric Literature* 19, no. 4: 1483-1536.
- Dadi, Legesse, Asfaw Negassa, and Steven Franzel. 1992. Marketing maize and tef in western Ethiopia : Implications for policies following market liberalization. *Food Policy* 17, no. 3 (June): 201-213.
- Dercon, Stefan. 1995. On market integration and liberalisation: Method and application to Ethiopia. *Journal of Development Studies* 32, no. 1: 112 - 143.
- Dessaiegn, Gebremeskel, T. S. Jayne, and J. D. Shaffer. 1998. *Market Structure, Conduct, and Performance: Constraints of performance of Ethiopian Grain Markets*. Working Paper. Addis Abeba: Ministry of Economic Development and Cooperation.
- Gabre-Madhin, Eleni. 2001. *Market Institutions, Transaction Costs, and Social Capital in the Ethiopian Grain Market*. Research Report 124. Washington D.C.: IFPRI.
- . 2003. *Institutions for Market Exchange: Defining a Policy Research Agenda*. Washington D.C.: IFPRI.
- Gabre-Madhin, Eleni, and Ian Goggin. 2005. *Does Ethiopia need a Commodity Exchange? An integrated approach to market development*. Working Paper. EDRI-ESSP Policy Working Papers. Washington D.C.: IFPRI.
- Greene, William H. 2008. *Econometric analysis*. Sixth Edition. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Key, Nigel, Elisabeth Sadoulet, and Alain de Janvry. 2000. Transactions Costs and Agricultural Household Supply Response. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 82, no. 2 (May): 245-259.
- Lirenso, Alemayehu. 1993. Grain marketing reform in Ethiopia: A Study of the Impact of Deregulation on the Structure and Performance of Grain Markets. Ph.D. dissertation, Norwich, U.K: University of East Anglia.
- Mheen-Sluijer, Jennie. 2010. *Ethiopian commodity exchange and contract farming arrangements: Complementing institutions*. VC4PPD Report. VC4PPD Paper. Wageningen, The Netherlands: Wageningen UR.
- Negassa, Asfaw, and T. S. Jayne. 1997. *The response of Ethiopian grain markets to liberalization*. Working Paper. Addis Abeba: Grain Marketing Research Project.
- Stifel, David, Bart Minten, and Paul Dorosh. 2003. *Transactions Costs And Agricultural Productivity: Implications of Isolation For Rural Poverty In Madagascar*. Discussion Paper. Washington D.C.: IFPRI.

Wijnands, Jo, Jaap Biersteker, and E.N. van Loo. 2009. *Oilseeds business opportunities in Ethiopia 2009*. The Hague, The Netherlands: Public Private Partnership on Oilseeds, November.